Sor Juana Inés de la Cruz (Mexico, 1648-1695)

Juana Ramírez y Azbache was born to an unmarried Mexican-Spanish woman in 1648 and grew up on her maternal grandfather's farm, about a day's ride outside of Mexico City. At an early age she learned to read and soon began writing poetry — unusual accomplishments for a girl of her times. She came to the attention of the viceroy's wife, who took the girl into her viceregal entourage. At the age of 18 she entered a convent, since the university was closed to women and there was no other profession that offered any opportunity for following a career of the mind. As a nun she adopted the name by which she is known, Sor Juana Inés de la Cruz. She was also known to the tiny reading public of colonial Mexico as "the Phoenix of America." Her writings brought her the friendship of the best educated minds of the time, notably that of her contemporary Carlos Sigüenza y Góngora, a scientist and man of letters who himself had entered the Jesuit order so that he could pursue his studies. But her writings also scandalized those who felt women had no place in the public realm. Beginning in 1690, the bishop of Puebla and her own confessor began a campaign to silence her. She wrote a lengthy and reasoned defense of herself and of women in general in 1691, but in 1694 she yielded to the intense pressure to conform, signing in her own blood a confession that renounced her scholarly pretensions. The next year she died at the age of 46 in one of the many epidemics that swept the colonial world.¹

In one of her best-known poems, "Sátira filosófica" (Philosophical Satire), Sor Juana questioned why women should be held guilty for the sins provoked by men. This poem is characteristic of her voice, in that it revels in the clever contrasts, oxymorons, and classical allusions typical of the Baroque literary sensibility of her time, but states them in a clean, clear, streamlined manner that is all her own. It is easy to read this poem today as a proto-feminist statement, but it is worth considering why this has long been one of the most popular poems in supposedly "machista" Latin America.

Poema 92. Sátira filosófica

[Arguye de inconsecuentes el gusto y
la censura de los hombres que en las
mujeres acusan lo que causan.]

Hombres necios que acusáis
a la mujer sin razón,
sin ver que sois la ocasión
de lo mismo que culpáis:

si con ansia sin igual
solicitáis su desdén,
¿por qué queréis que obren bien
si las incitáis al mal?

Poem 92. Philosophical Satire

[Which contends that the appetites and
the criticisms of men are inconsistent
when they denounce in women what they
themselves cause.]

Mulish men, you like to accuse
woman without reason —
you never see that you're the occasion
of what you blame on them:

when you're so over-anxious
to solicit their disdain,
why expect them to do well
while you urge them on to evil?

Combatis su resistencia
y luego, con gravedad,
decís que fue livianidad
lo que hizo la diligencia.

Parecer quiere el denuedo
de vuestro parecer loco,
al niño que pone el coco
y luego le tiene miedo.

Queréis, con presunción necia,
hallar a la que buscaís,
para pretendida, Thais,
y en la posesión, Lucrecia.

¿Que humor puede ser más raro
que el que, falto de consejo,
el mismo empaña el espejo,
y siente que no esté claro?

Con el favor y el desdén
tenéis condición igual,
quejándoos, si os tratan mal,
burlándoos, si os quieren bien.

Opinión, ninguna gana;
pues la que más se recata,
si no os admite, es ingrata,
y si os admite, es liviana.

Siempre tan necios andáis
que, con desigual nivel,
a una culpáis por cruel
y a otra por fácil culpáis.

¿Pues cómo ha de estar templada
la que vuestro amor pretende,
si la que es ingrata, ofende,
y la que es fácil, enfada?

Mas, entre el enfado y pena
que vuestro gusto refiere,
bien haya la que no os quiere
y quejaos en hora buena.

You assail all their resistance
and then, in serious tones,
you call mere frivolity
what your diligence has done.

The boldness of your mad opinion
reminds one of a boy
who calls up the bogeyman,
then cowards in fear of him.

You hope, with mulish presumption,
to see the one you seek
as Thaís when you're courting her,
but when you have her, Lucrecia.2

Whose humor could be odder
than his who, lacking judgment,
fogs up his own mirror,
then regrets it isn't clear?

You have the same condition
with both favor and disdain:
they treat you ill, then you complain;
they love you well, you mock them.

However discrete a woman is
she never wins your esteem.
If she doesn't let you in, she's mean;
she let you in, she's easy.

You're so stubborn in your mulishness
that, on your faulty scale,
you blame one woman for being cruel
and the next one for her looseness.

How could the one you woo in love
maintain her temperance,
when her meanness offends you
and her looseness enrages?

Yet between the anger and the grief
that your appetites recount,
blessed be the woman who doesn't love you,
and go complain for all you're worth.

---

2 Thaís was the concubine of Alexander the Great and of Ptolomey and became the classical symbol of the libertine woman. Lucrecia, a woman in Roman legend who was betrayed and raped and who committed suicide to prevent her husband's dishonor, represented the type of the virtuous woman.
Dan vuestras amantes penas
a sus libertades alas,
y después de hacerlas malas
las queréis hallar muy buenas.

¿Cuál mayor culpa ha tenido
en una pasión errada:
la que cae de rogada,
or el que ruega de caído?

¿O cuál es más de culpar,
aunque cualquiera mal haga:
la que peca por la paga,
or el que paga por pecar?

Pues ¿para qué os espantáis
de la culpa que tenéis?
Querédolas cual las hacéis
o hacedlas cual las buscáis.

Dejad de solicitar,
y después, con más razón,
acusaréis la afición
de la que os fuere a rogar.

Bien con muchas armas fundo
que lidi vuestra arrogancia,
pues en promesa e instancia
juntáis diablo, carne y mundo.

Your lover’s grief gives
wings to their liberties,
yet after making them so bad
you expect them to be very good.

Whose blame should be the greater
in an ill-starred passion:
the who, beggared-for, falls,
or he who, fallen, begs her?

Or who deserves more blame,
though both of them do ill:
the who sins for pay,
or he who pays for sin?

So why are you so afraid
of blame, if it's your own?
Love them as you've made them,
or make them as you'd have them be.

Just stop all your soliciting
and then, with more the reason,
you may denounce the infatuation
of the woman who begs for you.

With these weapons I have proved
that all you wield is arrogance,
for in your promises and demands
you unite devil, flesh, and world.