

Testing, Evaluation and Research Services Faculty Individual Report
(Page 1 of 2)

As Of: Jul 16, 2019 Time: 4:36:24 PM Report ID: SET002

Winter 2019

College of Liberal Arts and Sciences

Instructor: Nguyen Nguyen Truc D Course: MAT2010 Call No. 22064 Students Responding: 26 out of 30

SUMMARY COURSE EVALUATION RATINGS #RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES									-0	
SUMMARY COURSE EVALUATION	Mn.	Md.	SD	#RESP Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	U/A
	IVIII.	<u>iviu.</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>(5)</u>	(<u>4</u>)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(A)	(B)
How would you rate this course?	4.2	5	1.0	13	2	8	O	O	O O	O
•				Great Deal	A lot	Moderate	Little	Nothing	N/A	U/A
How much have you learned in this course?	4.3	4	0.7	8	8	3	0	0	0	0
SUMMARY INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION				Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	U/A
How would you rate the instructor's teaching in this course?	4.6	5	0.7	15	6	2	0	0	0	0
MEAN SUMMATIVE CLASS										
RATING	13.0		8.0							
STUDENT PROFILE	Mn.	TIN <u>Md.</u>	<u>SD</u>	Strongly Ag	<u>(4)</u>	ee Neuti	al Dis	sagree St (2)	rongly D	-
Before enrolling I had an interest in the subject matter of this course.	4.3	4	0.8	11	9	-		0	0	
I wanted to take this course.	4.2	4.5	1.0	12	6	4		2	0	
MEAN SUMMATIVE INTEREST RATING	8.5		0.9							
					Required			Elec	tivo	
The course for me was:					24			0		
The course for the was					24			O		
				< 60 %	60 - 69 %	70-79 %	6 80	- 89 %	90 - 1	00 %
I attended approximately this percentage of class meetings:				0	0	1		2	2	l
				Α	В	С)	Ot	her	
I expect a final grade in this course of :				18	4	1 0			0	
					-	. •			-	

Mn = Mean; Md = Median; SD = Standard Deviation N/A = Not Applicable; U/A = Unable to Answer



Testing, Evaluation and Research Services Faculty Individual Report
(Page 2 of 2)

As Of: Jul 16, 2019 Time: 4:36:24 PM Report ID: SET002

Instructor: Nguyen Nguyen Truc D Course: MAT2010 Call No. 22064 Students Responding: 26 out of 30

INSTRUCTOR FEEDBACK-DIAGNOSTICS		TIN						LOWING CATEGO		
Organization/Clarity	Mn.	Md.	<u>SD</u>	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)		U/A (B)
To me, The instructor was clear and understandable in speaking and in written materials.	4.1	4	0.9	9	10	4	1	0	0	0
Class sessions helped me understand the course content.	4.5	5	0.7	13	9	0	1	0	0	0
I felt that the course was well organized.	4.3	5	0.9	10	6	2	1	0	0	0
Instructor Enthusiasm	4.0	_	0.4	18	_	0	0	0	^	
To me, the instructor seemed enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor encouraged me to do high quality work in this course.	4.8 4.7	5 5	0.4 0.6	17	5 5	0 1	0 0	0	0	0
Group Interaction										
I felt free to ask questions in this course. I felt encouraged to be an active participants.	4.9 4.7	5 5	0.3 0.4	20 17	3 6	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
Individual Rapport										
The instructor was available to me electronically or in person. I felt that the instructor treated all students in the class with respect.	4.8 5.0	5 5	0.5 0.2	19 22	3 1	1 0	0	0	0	0
Breadth of Coverage										
I felt the instructor had good knowledge of the course content.	4.8	5	0.4	18	5	0	0	0	0	0
The instructor discussed differing views about the material appropriate to the content.	4.5	5	0.7	13	6	2	0	0	1	0
Examinations/Grading										
Class expectations, requirements, and grading were explained in the syllabus and in class near the beginning of the course.	4.8	5	0.4	18	5	0	0	0	0	0
I felt that evaluation and grading were fair.	4.8	5	0.4	19	4	0	0	0	0	0
The instructor provided feedback on my performance within the time frame noted in the syllabus.	4.7	5	0.5	18	4	1	0	0	0	0
The instructor's feedback on my work was helpful.	4.7	5	0.4	17	6	0	0	0	0	0
Assignments/Readings										
Course assignments (e.g., reading or those activities) contributed to my learning in this class.	4.6	5	0.6	16	6	1	0	0	0	0
Workload/Difficulty	RA	TIN	G S	#RESPO	NDING II	N EACH O	F THE FOL	LOWING CATEGO	RIES	
	Mn.	Md.	<u>SD</u>	Too Difficult (+2)	Difficult (+1)	Moderate (0)	Elementary	y Too Elementary (-2)	N/A	U/A
For me, the course was:	0.0	0	0.7	1	3	16	4	0	0	0
,				Too Heavy (+2)	Heavy (+1)	Moderate (0)	Little (-1)	Too Little (-2)	N/A	U/A
I felt that the workload in the course was:	0.3	0	0.6	0	8	15	1	0	0	0
				Too Fast (+2)	Fast (+1)	Moderate (0)	Slow (-1)	Too Slow (-2)	N/A	U/A
I felt that the course pace was:	0.3	0	0.5	0	7	17	0	0	0	0
INCTRUCTOR CURRULER TEMO.				#DE0 D0	NDING	الممالم	E THE FA	LOWING CATEOG	יחובפי	
INSTRUCTOR SUPPLIED ITEMS:					NDING II (4)	(3)	(2)	LOWING CATEGO (1) (A)	RIES (E	
1					—					_

1.	
2	
10	