Polyphosphate Is a Primordial Chaperone
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SUMMARY

Composed of up to 1,000 phospho-anhydride bond-linked phosphate monomers, inorganic polyphosphate (polyP) is one of the most ancient, conserved, and enigmatic molecules in biology. Here we demonstrate that polyP functions as a hitherto unrecognized chaperone. We show that polyP stabilizes proteins in vivo, diminishes the need for other chaperone systems to survive proteotoxic stress conditions, and protects a wide variety of proteins against stress-induced unfolding and aggregation. In vitro studies reveal that polyP has protein-like chaperone qualities, binds to unfolding proteins with high affinity in an ATP-independent manner, and supports their productive refolding once nonstress conditions are restored. Our results uncover a universally important function for polyP and suggest that these long chains of inorganic phosphate may have served as one of nature’s first chaperones, a role that continues to the present day.

INTRODUCTION

It is generally agreed that protein synthesis evolved from a world in which RNA served both genetic and catalytic roles in biology, although the driving forces and requirements for the transition to the protein world still remain unclear (Noller, 2004). A major question that has puzzled researchers for a long time is, how do proteins, which are born as linear chains of amino acids, achieve the intricate three-dimensional structures necessary for proper function? Anfinsen’s classic experiments (Anfinsen, 1973), which showed that the specific structure of a protein is solely determined by its amino acid sequence, seemed to provide the long-sought answer as to how proteins could have evolved to play such central roles in biology. However, it has become increasingly clear that within the crowded environment of the cell, many proteins require a cohort of molecular chaperones, proteases, and regulatory signaling pathways, collectively called the proteostasis network, to fold, function, and withstand stress conditions (Powers and Balch, 2013). This realization has raised new questions, particularly regarding the potential coevolution of proteins and the proteostasis mechanisms necessary to keep them stable and soluble. We have now identified a primordial member of the proteostasis network, the prebiotic molecule inorganic polyphosphate (polyP). Synthesized in vivo from ATP and consisting entirely of high-energy phospho-anhydride-bonded inorganic phosphate (Achbergerová and Nahálka, 2011; Rao et al., 2009), these universally conserved molecules exhibit all of the characteristics of an efficient protein chaperone, making polyP one of the most ancient chaperones known.

Chaperone discovery is difficult. Chaperone-deficient cells exhibit many different, seemingly unrelated, and often overlapping phenotypes. These pleiotropic phenotypes are the result of the involvement of molecular chaperones in the folding, assembly and disassembly, transport, and degradation of a large number of different proteins. Therefore, the loss of a chaperone can often lead to unpredictable functional effects in the cell (Kim et al., 2013; Powers and Balch, 2013). Compounding this problem, the in vitro assays for chaperones are neither specific nor sensitive enough to enable their purification from crude lysates by activity. It is not surprising, therefore, that new chaperones continue to be discovered even in very well characterized organisms such as Escherichia coli (Quan et al., 2011).

Cells deficient in polyP show a multitude of different phenotypic traits, similar to the pleiotropic phenotypes exhibited by chaperone-deficient cells. Bacteria or unicellular eukaryotes lacking polyP are sensitive to a number of different stress conditions, including heat shock and heavy-metal exposure, and are defective in virulence, biofilm formation, and motility (Docampo et al., 2010; Rao et al., 2009). In higher eukaryotes, polyP is known to play a central role in blood clotting, and is involved in apoptosis, mTOR activation, and neuronal signaling (Holmstrom et al., 2013; Kulakovskaya et al., 2012; Moreno and Docampo, 2013; Smith et al., 2010). The underlying physiological role of polyP has been attributed to diverse functions of the molecule, including phosphate and energy storage (polyP is isoenergetic to ATP), metal chelation, pH buffering, and regulatory interactions (Kornberg et al., 1999; Kulakovskaya et al., 2012; Rao et al., 2009). However, there is no satisfactory explanation for a general mechanism by which polyP affects these seemingly unrelated processes in the cell.

Here, we show that bacteria, in response to protein-unfolding oxidative stress (i.e., hypochlorous acid [HOCI]), redirect cellular ATP to polyP, resulting in a more than 10,000-fold increase in stress resistance. We demonstrate that polyP functions as a global, highly effective, and wholly inorganic...
chaperone that stabilizes unfolding proteins, prevents protein aggregation both in vitro and in vivo, and maintains proteins in a refolding-competent form. These results help to explain the long known but largely unexplained role of polyP in protecting organisms against stress conditions, and suggest that polyP may have served as one of nature’s first chaperones.

RESULTS

Phosphate Starvation Is an Immediate Response to Oxidative Protein Unfolding Stress

A reexamination of a recent microarray analysis of E. coli gene-expression changes in response to the proteotoxic oxidant HOCI, a potent physiological antimicrobial, showed that the expression of at least 12 phosphate starvation-induced genes is highly upregulated (Gray et al., 2013b). This result was consistent with our earlier studies, which revealed that HOCl-treated cells substantially increase their toxic methylglyoxal production (Gray et al., 2013b), a reaction that is driven by low phosphate and high triose phosphate concentrations, and used to restore inorganic phosphate pools (Figure S1A available online; Booth et al., 2003). As expected, mutant bacteria carrying deletions in the enzymes DkgA or YqhD, which detoxify the accumulating electrophile methylglyoxal (Figure S1A), were found to be very sensitive to HOCl treatment (Figure 1A). Surprisingly, however, E. coli and Vibrio cholerae mutants lacking the enzyme that makes the toxic electrophile (i.e., methylglyoxal synthase [MgsA]) were also highly HOCl sensitive (Figures 1A and S1B).

These results strongly imply that replenishment of cellular phosphate pools plays a crucial role in oxidative stress defense in bacteria. This was very intriguing because phosphate is known to be entirely nonreactive with reactive chlorine species, and therefore its cellular levels should not be affected by these oxidants (Deborde and von Gunten, 2008).

Severe Oxidative Stress Leads to PolyP Accumulation

Because phosphate constitutes the building block of polyP, we considered whether polyP accumulation was triggered by HOCl stress, thereby resulting in phosphate starvation. As shown in Figure S1C, microscopic examination of HOCl-treated E. coli cells stained with DAPI revealed a significant accumulation of yellow fluorescent foci characteristic of DAPI bound to polyP (Aschar-Sobbi et al., 2008). Similar polyP-containing bodies (i.e., metachromatic granules, volutin granules, etc.) have been observed in many stress-exposed organisms, but the purpose of such bodies still remains largely unclear (Dacosta et al., 2010; Rao et al., 2009). Very few yellow foci were detected in untreated cells or, as previously observed (Ault-Riché et al., 1998; Winter et al., 2005), in cells treated with the nonproteotoxic oxidant hydrogen peroxide (Figure S1C). Gel analysis (Figure S1D) and quantitative polyP measurements (Figures 1B and S1E) confirmed these results and showed that E. coli and V. cholerae cells accumulate substantial and comparable amounts of polyP within minutes after HOCl treatment. These results likely provide the missing link between HOCl stress and phosphate starvation.

PolyP is synthesized from ATP by polyphosphate kinase (PPK). Although this is a reversible process, the majority of polyP is degraded to inorganic phosphate by exopolyphosphatase (PPX) under physiological conditions (Figure 1C). Significant polyP accumulation upon HOCl stress should therefore result
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PolyP Accumulation Plays a Critical Role in HOCl Defense

To directly test whether accumulation of polyP contributes to the HOCl resistance of bacteria, we compared the HOCl resistance of *E. coli* and *V. cholerae* wild-type cells with that of strains that lack polyP (i.e., Δppk strains) or overaccumulate polyP (i.e., Δppx strains). As shown in Figures 1E, S1G, and S1H, Δppk cells are exquisitely sensitive to treatment with either HOCl or N-chlorotaurine, a physiologically relevant in vivo secondary oxidation product of HOCl that is present at high concentration in neutrophils (Nagl et al., 2000). In contrast, strains lacking the polyP-degrading exopolypolypphatase PXP (i.e., Δppx strains) accumulate higher levels of polyP upon HOCl treatment and are slightly more resistant to reactive chlorine species than wild-type cells (Figures 1E and S1H). These results are in agreement with prior studies from Arthur Kornberg’s laboratory and others (Crooke et al., 1994; Kornberg et al., 1999; Rao et al., 2009), which showed that polyP-deficient organisms exhibit increased sensitivity to a variety of environmental stress conditions, including amino acid starvation, osmotic stress, and heat shock.

PolyP Functions as a General Molecular Chaperone In Vivo

Many stress conditions, including those shown to necessitate polyP accumulation in bacteria for improved survival, cause protein unfolding and aggregation (Kornberg et al., 1999; Winter et al., 2008). As a first test to determine whether polyP might be involved in maintaining proteostasis, we monitored the expression of heat-shock genes in HOCl-treated wild-type and Δppk strains. Stress-induced protein unfolding is the primary trigger of the *E. coli* heat-shock response, making upregulation of heat-shock gene expression a bellwether for the collapse of proteostasis (Guisbert et al., 2008). In the absence of stress, there was no discernible difference in heat-shock gene expression in the wild-type and Δppk strains (Figure S2A). However, upon exposure of both strains to HOCl treatment, we found that most of the tested heat-shock genes, including the heat-shock-regulated chaperones Hsp33 and DnaK, were more highly upregulated in Δppk as compared with the wild-type (Figure 2A). Expression of *rpoH*, which encodes the heat-shock sigma factor, was also slightly increased. In contrast, marker genes for DNA damage (e.g., *sulA*), which is often cited as a major threat during oxidative stress conditions (Imlay, 2013), did not show any additional upregulation in *E. coli* Δppk strains (Figure 2B). These results suggest that the presence of polyP reduces the need for other chaperones to combat oxidative protein unfolding, implying that polyP might function as a physiologically relevant chaperone.

To directly test this idea, we treated *E. coli* wild-type, Δppk, and Δppx strains with HOCl and analyzed the extent of protein aggregation in these cells. As previously observed (Winter et al., 2008), HOCl treatment led to substantial accumulation of insoluble aggregates in wild-type *E. coli* within 30 min of incubation (Figure 2C). However, cells lacking ppk accumulated slightly more insoluble protein after HOCl treatment than the wild-type, whereas cells lacking ppx, and therefore the ability to degrade accumulating polyP, showed substantially less protein aggregation (Figure 2C). These results suggest that polyP plays an important role in protecting cells against toxic protein aggregation, and that levels of polyP accumulation might be inversely correlated with intracellular protein damage.

To test whether this protein-protective effect was specific to HOCl stress conditions or was of general significance, we took advantage of the temperature-sensitive, *rpoH*-deficient *E. coli* strain BB7224. This strain is unable to induce the heat-shock response, is largely deficient in protein chaperones, and is extremely sensitive to the protein unfolding effects of heat treatment (Guisbert et al., 2008; Tomoyasu et al., 2001). Overexpression of select chaperones, such as the DnaK/DnaJ system, has been shown to mitigate heat-induced protein aggregation and rescue the temperature-sensitive phenotype of this strain (Tomoyasu et al., 2001). We therefore reasoned that if polyP exerts general chaperone-like protein protection, overproduction of
polyP should protect this strain against heat shock in a way comparable to that observed for protein chaperones. Since heat stress does not induce significant accumulation of polyP in vivo (Ault-Riché et al., 1998), presumably because the RpoH-dependent proteostasis machinery is fully functional under such stress conditions, we constructed *E. coli* strains that were previously shown to contain different levels of polyP (Crooke et al., 1994). We generated BB7224 derivatives with and without functional polyP genes.
PPX, containing plasmids expressing ppk (ppk+) from arabinose-inducible promoters. All BB7224 derivatives that were engineered to overproduce polyP showed substantial improvements in heat-shock survival (Figure 2D, left panels) and decreased levels of insoluble protein aggregates (Figure 2D, right panels). The results were comparable to those seen in cells overproducing DnaK/DnaJ (Figure 2D; Tomoyasu et al., 2001), strongly indicating that polyP plays a direct role in maintaining protein homeostasis in vivo.

To test the ability of polyP to stabilize proteins even under non-stress conditions, we exploited the recent observation that the level of a strain’s antibiotic resistance can be used as readout for the in vivo stability of the antibiotic resistance protein (Foit and Bardwell, 2013). We therefore coexpressed various antibiotic resistance proteins in wild-type, Δppk, or Δppx ppkΔ strains and tested for antibiotic resistance. We observed by far the largest effect of polyP on the spectinomycin resistance-conferring enzyme aminoglycoside 3'-adenylyltransferase (ANT), which showed significantly higher antibiotic resistance in PPK-overexpressing strains than in the wild-type, and much lower antibiotic resistance in strains lacking polyP (Figure 2E). Only a very small decrease in spectinomycin resistance was observed for the polyP-deficient strain in the absence of the ANT gene, indicating that the observed effect is largely due to the effect of polyP on ANt. Some apparent stabilization was also seen for chloramphenicol acetyltransferase, but not for the ampicillin resistance protein β-lactamase, which is expressed in the periplasm of E. coli (Figures S2B and S2C). These results demonstrate that polyP acts to stabilize cytoplasmic proteins in vivo and effectively protects bacteria against stress conditions that cause protein unfolding and aggregation.

**PolyP Functions as a General Molecular Chaperone In Vitro**

Although our studies demonstrated that polyP works well in a strain background that lacks most chaperones and proteases, the possibility still remained that the observed in vivo effects of polyP are indirect and mediated by a potential influence of polyP on components of the proteostasis machinery. We therefore decided to directly test, using standard in vitro chaperone assays, whether polyP, like a true protein chaperone, recognizes and binds in vitro unfolding proteins and prevents their irreversible aggregation. We first analyzed the influence of polyP on the aggregation of a variety of previously established chaperone substrate proteins. One such substrate, luciferase, aggregated rapidly when diluted from the urea-denatured form into buffer (Figure 3A, black trace). Astonishingly, micromolar concentrations of polyP (expressed in terms of total phosphate concentration due to the heterogeneous nature of commercially available polyP [Ault-Riché et al., 1998]) inhibited the aggregation of chemically denatured luciferase, with 500 μM polyP completely abolishing luciferase aggregation (Figure 3A, blue trace). PolyP was even more effective in protecting luciferase against thermal aggregation, which typically occurs when luciferase is incubated at temperatures above 40 °C (Figure 3B, black trace). The presence of 1 μM polyP was sufficient to significantly reduce thermal aggregation, and 100 μM polyP completely prevented aggregate formation (Figure 3B, compare red and blue lines). To determine whether the observed chaperone activity is indeed polyP specific and not mediated by additional components in our polyP preparations, we added the highly active, polyP-degrading exopolyphosphatase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae (ScPPX) (Wurst and Kornberg, 1994) to preformed polyP-luciferase complexes at elevated temperatures. We reasoned that any luciferase that was subsequently released because of polyP degradation should rapidly aggregate under these conditions. Indeed, addition of ScPPX, which has no discernible chaperone activity or aggregation tendency itself (Figure S3A), resulted in immediate, dose-dependent aggregation of luciferase (Figure 3C, blue and green lines). These results showed that polyP was indeed the chaperone-active component in this assay.

The remarkable ability of polyP to stabilize proteins became clearly apparent when we compared the thermal stability of luciferase in the absence and presence of polyP. Although luciferase was completely insoluble upon incubation at 85 °C in the absence of polyP (Figure 3D, inset) and had no discernible secondary structure (Figure 3D, black dotted trace), the presence of polyP maintained luciferase in a fully soluble and highly structured form for at least 20 min at these near-boiling temperatures (Figure 3D, red trace). These results suggested that polyP keeps luciferase soluble by stabilizing its secondary structure elements. Consistent with this protein-stabilizing effect, incubation of luciferase with increasing amounts of polyP also increasingly delayed the thermal inactivation of luciferase (Figure 3E, left). However, a quite unexpected finding was that, like a true protein chaperone, polyP maintained thermally inactivated luciferase in a state that was competent for refolding by the DnaK/DnaJ/GrpE system. This result became evident when we diluted luciferase, which had been thermally inactivated either in the absence or presence of polyP, into 25 °C buffer containing the DnaK/DnaJ/GrpE ATP-dependent chaperone system. Whereas no significant refolding of luciferase was detected in the sample that lacked polyP during the inactivation (Figure 3E, right inset), significant refolding was achieved when luciferase was heat treated in the presence of polyP (Figure 3E, right). These effects of polyP are very comparable to the effects observed with general protein chaperones such as Hsp33 or the small heat-shock proteins, which bind unfolding proteins during heat inactivation and transfer their clients to the DnaK/DnaJ/GrpE chaperone system upon a temperature shift for refolding (Haslbeck et al., 2005; Hoffmann et al., 2004; Mogk et al., 1999).

Importantly, polyP’s protein-protective effects were not restricted to thermally or chemically unfolded luciferase, but extended also to heat- or HOCl-induced protein aggregation of citrate synthase, another commonly used chaperone substrate. For both thermally and HOCl-unfolded citrate synthase, increasing amounts of polyP in the incubation reaction increasingly prevented protein aggregation (Figures 3F and 3G). To obtain a general overview of the proteins that are protected by polyP, and to detect any potential client specificity, we added increasing amounts of polyP to crude extracts of E. coli ppk::kan+ (which expresses neither PPK nor PPX) or wild-type and incubated the cell lysates at heat-shock temperatures. This strategy has been extensively used to detect clients of protein chaperones. It is based on the observation that most of the proteins that aggregate upon stress treatment in intact cells also
Figure 3. PolyP Is a Protein-Protective Chaperone In Vitro

(A) Aggregation of urea-denatured luciferase upon its dilution (arrow) into buffer containing no (black), 0.5 mM (red), 5 mM (green), 50 mM (purple), or 500 mM (blue) polyP. PolyP concentrations are expressed in terms of concentration of inorganic phosphate equivalents (Pi).

(B) Thermal aggregation of luciferase upon its dilution into prewarmed buffer (arrow) containing no (black), 1 mM (red), 10 mM (purple), or 100 mM (blue) polyP.

(C) Thermal aggregation of luciferase upon its dilution into prewarmed buffer containing no (black) or 0.5 mM polyP (blue, green, red) and 50 µM MgCl₂. Arrow indicates addition of 5 µg/ml (blue) or 1 µg/ml (green) ScPPX. See Figure S3A for additional controls.

(legend continued on next page)
We found that addition of polyP broadly protected a large range of different proteins against thermal aggregation and maintained them in a soluble form (Figures 3H and S3B). Importantly, the polyP concentrations necessary to protect bacterial proteins ex vivo (2–20 mM) were very similar to both the concentrations required to protect citrate synthase from aggregation in vitro (Figures 3F and 3G) and the ~50 mM polyP concentrations that have been measured in stressed E. coli cells (Ault-Riché et al., 1998). These results strongly suggest that polyP is a promiscuous and general protein chaperone.

PolyP Chain Length Determines Its Chaperone Efficacy

The length of polyP chains varies dramatically in nature and depends on both the organism and the cell type (Rao et al., 2009). Although functional differences between long- and short-chain polyPs have been noted, the reasons for these differences are unclear (Smith et al., 2010). To assess whether chain length affects the ability of polyP to protect against protein aggregation, we analyzed the influence of the same concentration (5 mM, based on P_i units) of different defined-length polyPs on the aggregation of thermally unfolding proteins in bacterial cell lysates. We tested the effects of homogeneous preparations of 14-mer, 60-mer, and 130-mer, as well as commercially available heterogeneous mixtures of short-chain polyPs (which were used for all other experiments except where indicated; average: 45 P_i units) and long-chain polyP polymers (range: 200–1,300 P_i units). At this concentration, all of the tested polyP preparations exerted some degree of protein protection (Figure 4A). However, by far the most effective chaperones were the long polyP chains, consisting of either 130-mer or a mixture of long-chain polyP (Figure 4A, right-most two lanes). Both preparations almost completely prevented protein aggregation in E. coli cell lysates heated to 55°C. We obtained similar results when we tested the effect of different-chain-length polyPs on the aggregation of urea-denatured citrate synthase or thermally denatured luciferase in vitro. At the minimal concentration of defined-length polyPs that effectively protected against aggregation, calculated either in terms of P_i units (Figures S4A and S4B) or in terms of polyP chains (Figures 4B and 4C), we observed a clear dependence of polyP chaperone efficacy on chain length: the shorter the chain length, the less effective was the protection. These results are consistent with the finding that bacteria preferentially accumulate long-chain polyP (up to 800 P_i units) upon stress conditions (Ault-Riché et al., 1998; Kornberg et al., 1999). Remarkably, 5 mM of 300-mer polyP chains was sufficient to nearly completely protect 130 nM luciferase against aggregation (Figure 4C, red trace). Higher concentrations (50 μM of chains) were required to protect 80 nM citrate synthase. This result may indicate that polyP exerts its chaperone activity differently with different substrates.

Accumulation of PolyP Is Redox Regulated

Our in vivo polyP measurements revealed that for the first 60 min of HOCl treatment, Δpx cells accumulated polyP with the same kinetics and to the same extent as HOCl-treated wild-type cells (Figure 1B, top panel, compare blue and black lines). This result was very unexpected, since deletion of PPX was predicted to abolish polyP hydrolysis to inorganic phosphate and therefore should lead to higher levels of polyP in these strains. We therefore considered the possibility that HOCl treatment might transiently inactivate PPX. This would allow for the HOCl-mediated accumulation of polyP and explain the inorganic phosphate starvation phenotype during HOCl stress. A similar posttranslational regulation has been proposed to trigger polyP accumulation during the E. coli amino acid starvation response. Under these stress conditions, the small signal molecule guanidine 5′,3′-bis-diphosphate (ppGpp) appears to be responsible for the transient
inhibition of PPX (Kuroda et al., 1997). However, since HOCl treatment does not induce gene-expression changes consistent with ppGpp accumulation (Durfee et al., 2008; Gray et al., 2013b), that mechanism is unlikely to account for HOCl-mediated polyP accumulation. Instead, HOCl is a highly thiol-reactive agent (Gray et al., 2013a), and there is large precedence for organisms using redox-regulated proteins to rapidly mount a stress defense against oxidative protein unfolding stress (Antelmann and Helmann, 2011). We therefore tested the redox sensitivity of PPX in vitro and in vivo. Purified PPX proved to be highly sensitive to inactivation by N-chlorotaurine (which causes many fewer nonspecific oxidation artifacts than HOCl [Chapman et al., 2003]) but not by H₂O₂ (compare Figures 5A and 5B). Mass-spectrometric analysis of N-chlorotaurine-treated PPX (Table S1) revealed the formation of sulfonic acid, an irreversible thiol modification, on Cys169, which is located in the predicted binding site for polyP (Alvarado et al., 2006), and, to a lesser extent, on the surface-exposed Cys85 (Figure S5A). This result helps to explain not only why oxidation of PPX leads to its inactivation but also why this inactivation is irreversible in vitro (Figure 5A). To monitor PPX oxidation and test for its reversibility in vivo, we conducted differential thiol-trapping experiments in E. coli overexpressing PPX (endogenous PPX levels were undetectable with our antibodies) at different time points after HOCl treatment. We alkylated all in vivo reduced cysteines and then labeled all in vivo oxidized cysteines, upon their ex vivo reduction, with the 2 kDa thiol-specific alkylating agent PEG-maleimide. This modification, which indicates the presence of in vivo reversible thiol modifications, leads to significant mass shifts that can be visualized by SDS-PAGE and western blotting. Within the first 5 min of HOCl treatment, the majority of endogenous PPX shifted to a slower-migrating species, indicating reversible thiol oxidation in PPX (Figure 5C). After about 60 min of HOCl treatment, at least 50% of PPX molecules were again in their reduced state, correlating well with the time at which polyP accumulation began to level off in wild-type cells while it continued to increase in Δppx cells (Figure 1B). These results provide evidence that PPX is a redox-regulated enzyme whose HOCl-mediated oxidation and concomitant transient inactivation contribute to the rapid polyP accumulation and Pi depletion in HOCl-stressed bacterial cells. Purified PPK, which also contains a cysteine residue in close proximity to its active site (Zhu et al., 2005; Figure S5B), was not affected by treatment with N-chlorotaurine (Figures 5D and 5E), indicating that the ability of PPK to synthesize polyP and potentially convert it back to ATP is not affected by HOCl treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we provide evidence that polyP is an ancient, universally conserved, highly effective, and wholly inorganic protein-protective chaperone, which may go some way toward explaining the complex pleiotropic phenotypes associated with polyP deficiencies in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Docampo et al., 2010; Rao et al., 2009). Our studies in bacteria identified polyP as a key component of a powerful, redox-regulated system for dealing with the proteotoxic effects of fast-acting oxidants such as HOCl. PolyP appears to counteract these proteotoxic effects by stabilizing proteins, preventing irreversible aggregation and maintaining them in a refolding-competent conformation. These are all typical features of protein chaperones, such as the small heat-shock proteins and Hsp33. Like protein chaperones, polyP does not appear to have any significant substrate specificity and stabilizes a wide variety of different proteins. Although we cannot exclude the possibility that polyP also has additional indirect or regulatory effects on proteostasis in vivo,
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Figure 6. Model of the PolyP Chaperone Cycle

The antimicrobial oxidant HOCl damages proteins, causing the formation of cytotoxic protein aggregates. HOCl stimulates rapid conversion of cellular ATP to polyP through the oxidative inactivation of PPK. This conversion conserves high-energy phospho-anhydride bonds while downregulating cellular processes that require ATP, including ATP-dependent chaperones such as DnaK. PolyP functionally replaces these chaperones by forming stable complexes with unfolding proteins, keeping them soluble and refolding competent. Upon relief of stress conditions, polyP may be either degraded to free phosphate by PPX or reconverted to ATP by PPK. Restoration of cellular ATP pools reactivates ATP-dependent chaperones and allows for the effective refolding of polyP-protected proteins by the DnaK/DnaJ/GrpE complex.

it is clear from our results that polyP is able to directly stabilize a wide variety of proteins against multiple forms of unfolding stresses.

These results raise the obvious question of how polyP works as a chaperone. Most known chemical chaperones work in a protective osmolyte-like fashion, requiring very high (often molar) concentrations and stabilizing proteins via their strong interactions with the solvent (Canchi and García, 2013). In contrast, protein chaperones work in stoichiometric fashion and contain either defined binding sites, which consist of a mixture of hydrophobic and charged residues (Kim et al., 2013), or intrinsically disordered protein regions, which form upon client binding in a scaffold-like fashion (Kim et al., 2013; Reichmann et al., 2012). PolyP chains are effective at low micromolar concentrations, and their ability to protect proteins against protein aggregation increases with the length of their chain. It is therefore possible that polyP functions as a chemical scaffold, keeping proteins soluble by stabilizing secondary motifs. Alternatively, or in combination with the above functions, ionic interactions between the negatively charged polyP and positive side chains in proteins, as well as the high concentration of cations associated with polyP (Kulaev et al., 2004), might contribute to the stabilization effect.

Synthesis of polyP does not require transcription or translation. This makes polyP an excellent chaperone during stress conditions, such as HOCl stress, that not only cause protein unfolding but also inhibit new protein translation and inactivate ATP-dependent chaperones, such as the DnaJ/DnaK/GrpE system (Ling and Söll, 2010; Winter et al., 2008). In fact, it is tempting to speculate that synthesis of polyP is part of a larger scheme in which the high-energy phosphate bonds of ATP are fully preserved during the period in which ATP-dependent processes, such as protein translation and ATP-dependent molecular chaperone function, are stalled. This mechanism avoids the costly de novo synthesis of oxidation-prone polypeptide chains and prevents futile cycles between chaperones and unfolding clients under conditions that are nonpermissive for folding (Kim et al., 2013). Converting ATP directly into an oxidation-resistant chemical chaperone that binds tightly to and stabilizes unfolding proteins provides immediate compensation for the lack of chaperones. Upon relief of stress, polyP can then be rapidly reconverted to ATP by PPK, restoring cellular energy pools and allowing ATP-dependent chaperones to refold polyP-stabilized proteins (Figure 6). Our findings not only expand the complex redox-regulated network that bacteria use to resist the protein-damaging effects of HOCl (Drazic et al., 2013; Gray et al., 2013b; Winter et al., 2008) but also demonstrate a fundamentally important function for polyP, one of the most conserved molecules in biology. PolyP’s protein-protective chaperone activity may be key to understanding its fundamental roles and diverse phenotypes in growth, development, virulence, and stress response in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Bacterial Strains, Growth Conditions, and Molecular Methods
All strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in the Table of Strains and Plasmids in Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Bacterial manipulations, protein purifications, enzyme assays, thiol trapping, and mass spectrometry were carried out according to standard methods; details are available in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Phenotypic and Transcriptional Analyses
HOCl survival assays and quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) of E. coli were performed as previously described (Gray et al., 2013b). The survival assays used for V. cholerae were the same as those employed for E. coli except that 550 μM HOCl was used instead of 2 mM HOCl, and 2 mM methionine instead of 10 mM sodium thiosulfate (Na2S2O3) was used to quench HOCl. For N-chlorotaurine tolerance, E. coli was grown in 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) medium at 37°C to an OD600 ≈0.4, and then concentrated by centrifugation and resuspended to OD600 = 0.1 in the same medium with and without 120 μM N-chlorotaurine. Growth curves were collected using a Bio-Tek Synergy HT plate reader, and cultures were incubated at 37°C with constant shaking.

Quantitative High-Throughput PolyP Assay
Intracellular polyP levels were measured according to Ault-Riché et al. (1998) with slight modifications. A Biomek FX fluid-handling robot (Beckman Coulter) was used to automate polyP extraction, digestion, and measurement; full details are available in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

In Vivo Protein Aggregation Assays
Membrane protein-free cellular insoluble protein fractions were prepared by a modification of the method of Tomoyasu et al. (2001). Briefly, cells equivalent to 4 ml of OD600 = 1 were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 40 μl Buffer 1 (10 mM potassium phosphate [pH 6.5], 1 mM EDTA, 20% [w/v] sucrose, 1 mg/ml lysozyme, 50 U/ml Benzonase nuclease [Merck]), and then incubated 30 min on ice and frozen at –80°C. After thawing on ice and addition of 360 μl Buffer 2 (10 mM potassium phosphate [pH 6.5], 1 mM EDTA), the cells were transferred to 2 ml microfuge tubes containing ~200 μl 0.5 mm glass beads (BioSpec Products) and shaken for 30 min at 1,400 rpm, 8°C, to lyse the cells completely. Then 200 μl aliquots were taken and insoluble fractions were separated by centrifugation (20 min at 16,100 g, 4°C), rinsed once with...
Buffer 2, once with Buffer 3 (Buffer 2 plus 2% Nonidet P-40 [ION Biomedical]), and again with Buffer 2, and then visualized by reducing SDS-PAGE. For HOCl stress, E. coli strains were grown in MOPS medium at 37°C to OD<sub>600</sub> = 1 and then diluted to OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.35 with fresh medium. HOCl was added to 1 mM and incubation was continued. Samples were taken at the indicated time points, with HOCl quenched by immediate addition of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to 10 mM. For heat stress, BB7224-derived E. coli strains were grown at 30°C in lysogeny broth (LB) medium containing ampicillin and 1% arabinose to OD<sub>600</sub> = 1, and then diluted to OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.6 with fresh medium and incubated at 48°C. Samples were taken at the indicated time points and cooled rapidly, and cell survival was assessed at 30°C by serial dilution on LB agar plates containing 1% arabinose.

**In Vivo Effect of PolyP on Antibiotic Resistance Protein Stability**

The E. coli strains MG1655/pBAD33, Δppk/pBAD33, and Δppx/pPPK1 were transformed with pH3322-ANT. Overnight cultures of these strains were diluted 1:100 in fresh LB and grown to log phase at 37°C. OD<sub>600</sub> was normalized to one with PBS. Cells were serially diluted in PBS, and 2 µl of 10<sup>−5</sup> dilutions were spotted on LB agar plates containing 1% arabinose and the indicated concentrations of antibiotics.

**In Vitro Protein Aggregation Assays**

For aggregation of denatured proteins, citrate synthase or luciferase (12 µM) was denatured in urea (6.5 M for luciferase, 7.5 M for citrate synthase) for 2 hr and then diluted to 60 nM (luciferase) or 80 nM (citrate synthase) in 40 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5) at 30°C containing polyP as indicated. For thermally induced protein aggregation, citrate synthase (0.30 µM) was incubated in 40 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5) at 43°C, or luciferase (0.13 µM) was incubated in 40 mM HEPES (pH 7.5) at 41.5°C–43°C, with the indicated amounts of polyP. For HOCl-induced protein aggregation, citrate synthase (3 µM) was incubated in 40 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5) at 30°C with 350 µM HOCl. Light scattering was measured at λ<sub>exc</sub> and λ<sub>em</sub> = 360 nm using a Hitachi F4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer with a thermostatted cuvette holder under constant stirring. All experiments were performed at least in triplicate. Each panel shows representative results obtained on a single day with a single batch of protein. For ex vivo aggregation assays, 200 µg aliquots of crude cell lysates with polyP added as indicated were incubated for 30 min with shaking (650 rpm) at the indicated temperatures. Soluble and insoluble fractions were separated by centrifugation (20 min at 16,100 g, 4°C) and visualized by reducing SDS-PAGE.

**Thermal Inactivation and Refolding of Luciferase**

DnaK, DnaJ, and GrpE were purified as previously described (Hoffmann et al., 2004). Luciferase (4 µM) was incubated in 10 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5) at 40°C and samples were removed at the indicated time points. After 10 min, the samples were transferred to 25°C for 5 min and then diluted 1:40 into 40 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, 2 mM MgATP with or without the addition of 1 µM DnaK, 0.2 µM DnaJ, and 1 µM GrpE. Luciferase activity was determined by luminescence (Lundin, 2000) in reaction mixtures containing 20 nM luciferase, 25 mM Tricine (pH 7.8), 5 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 35 µM lucif- erin, and 2 mM MgATP.

**Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy**

Circular dichroism (CD) spectra (190–260 nm) were measured for 0.4 mg/ml luciferase in 10 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5) with or without 0.3 mM polyP at 20°C and 85°C, using a J-810 CD spectrophotometer (Jasco). After measurement, soluble and insoluble protein fractions of each treatment were separated by centrifugation (20 min at 16,100 g, 4°C) and visualized by reducing SDS-PAGE.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes five figures, one table, and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.01.012.
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