



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Metabolic engineering of plant volatiles

Natalia Dudareva¹ and Eran Pichersky²

Metabolic engineering of the volatile spectrum offers enormous potential for plant improvement because of the great contribution of volatile secondary metabolites to reproduction, defense and food quality. Recent advances in the identification of the genes and enzymes responsible for the biosynthesis of volatile compounds have made this metabolic engineering highly feasible. Notable successes have been reported in enhancing plant defenses and improving scent and aroma quality of flowers and fruits. These studies have also revealed challenges and limitations which will be likely surmounted as our understanding of plant volatile network improves.

Addresses

¹ Department of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, United States

² Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan, 830 North University Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, United States

Corresponding author: Dudareva, Natalia (dudareva@purdue.edu)

Current Opinion in Biotechnology 2008, **19**:1–9

This review comes from a themed issue on
Plant Biotechnology
Edited by Joe Chappell and Erich Grotewold

0958-1669/\$ – see front matter

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

DOI [10.1016/j.copbio.2008.02.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2008.02.011)

Introduction

Plants produce an amazing diversity of low molecular weight organic compounds known as secondary or specialized metabolites [1]. More than 1% of these metabolites are lipophilic molecules with low boiling points and high vapor pressures at ambient temperature. They are mainly represented by terpenoids, phenylpropanoids/benzenoids, fatty acid derivatives and amino acid derivatives. These volatile compounds are released from leaves, flowers and fruits into the atmosphere and from roots into the soil. The primary functions of airborne volatiles are to defend plants against herbivores and pathogens, to attract pollinators, seed dispersers, and other beneficial animals and microorganisms, and to serve as signals in plant–plant interaction. The contribution of volatiles to plant survival and overall reproductive success in natural ecosystems, and their impact on agronomic and other commercial traits, including yield and food quality, suggest that modification of volatile production via genetic engineering has the potential to improve cultivated plant species.

Metabolic engineering requires a basic understanding of the biochemical pathways and the identification of the genes and enzymes involved in the synthesis of volatile compounds. In the last decade a renewed interest in these questions combined with technical advances have led to both a large increase in the number of plant volatiles identified as well as remarkable progress in discovering the genes and enzymes of volatile biosynthesis. Numerous attempts have been made to modulate volatile profiles in plants via metabolic engineering to enhance direct and indirect plant defense and to improve scent and aroma quality of flowers and fruits [2–5]. While a few projects have been successful in achieving the desired goals, many other attempts have resulted in meager enhancement of volatiles or in other unpredicted metabolic consequences such as further metabolism of the intended end products or deleterious effects on plant growth and development. In this review we highlight the latest advances in plant volatile research and discuss recent efforts to modify volatile traits, emphasizing the challenges and limitations of metabolic engineering of volatile profiles.

Improvement of plant defense via metabolic engineering

In the past two decades it has been well documented that in response to herbivore attack plants emit diverse volatile blends that may be composed of more than 200 different compounds [6]. These emitted volatiles can directly intoxicate, repel or deter herbivorous insects [7–12], or they may attract natural predators and parasitoids of the offending herbivores, thus indirectly protecting the signaling plant from further damage (e.g., tritrophic interactions) [13–15]. The growing number of reports on the involvement of volatiles in plant defense suggests that plant protection in agricultural and forest ecosystems can be enhanced via the modulation of the volatile spectrum by metabolic engineering, thereby providing an alternative pest-management strategy based on biological control [16]. However, several requirements must be fulfilled for successful improvement of plant defense [4]. First, the herbivore enemies capable of sufficiently controlling the herbivore population must be present in the locality where the crop is grown. Second, the introduced or enhanced plant volatile blends must provide key attractants for herbivore enemies, and volatile release must be synchronized with herbivore activity. Finally, the released volatiles should not increase the attractiveness of the plant to non-specific herbivores [17].

In addition to direct and indirect induced defenses, herbivore-induced volatiles can act as airborne signals

2 Plant Biotechnology

that warn neighboring plants about the pathogen attack and prime them to respond more strongly against future insect attack [18^{**},19,20^{**}] or serve as signals within a plant and prime systemic defenses [21,22^{**},23]. Although the molecular mechanisms underlying priming are unknown, priming prepares the plant or its undamaged parts for accelerated defense but delays the response until the actual herbivore attack. Since the defense network remains mostly dormant until actual herbivore attack priming-related costs are substantially lower than those of the induced direct defense, and the benefits of priming outweigh its costs when disease occurs [24^{*}]. Priming crops by planting a few transgenic plants that constantly emit defense volatiles in the field (Figure 1) may offer an efficient form of plant protection and provide an advantage to non-transgenic receiver plants. However, a full use of this approach will only become possible with a comprehensive understanding of the molecular mechanisms of volatile-induced priming, the determination of the major volatile signal components that trigger it and their species specificity, and the identification of reliable molecular markers for the primed state.

Although it is likely that the array of volatiles emitted from a given species evolved as adaptations to specific

challenges, it is not surprising that certain plant enemies have in turn evolved to take advantage of such emissions to locate their plant 'prey' for food, egg deposition, and the raising of their young. In addition, it was recently shown that volatiles released from plants can also provide chemical cues to parasitic weeds, the most damaging agricultural pests, for host location and discrimination [25]. An understanding of the parasite–host interactions and, in particular, the role of volatiles in these plant–plant interactions, will aid in the development of new tactics for the non-herbicidal control of weed populations via the metabolic alteration of the hosts' volatile spectrum.

Although to date very little is known about the attractive and repelling properties of specific plant volatiles, the key compounds involved in plant–insect and plant–plant interactions and the molecular mechanisms of their action, the modulation of the volatile spectrum has already been proven to be a useful strategy for enhancing volatile-based plant defenses. The overexpression of strawberry linalool/nerolidol synthase (*FaNES1*) targeted to chloroplasts resulted in transgenic *Arabidopsis* producing high levels of linalool which repelled the aphid *Mysus persicae* in dual-choice assays [11]. The ectopic expression of the same *FaNES1* gene in transgenic potato increased

Figure 1



Priming with plant beacons. A few transgenic plants engineered for continuous synthesis and emission of airborne signals can 'prime' a field of non-transgenics and thereby increase their ability to resist attacking herbivores and pests.

the level of linalool and affected tritrophic interactions, creating transgenic plants that were more attractive to predatory mites than the uninfested wild-type plants [2,26]. Improvement of *Arabidopsis* indirect defense was also achieved by overexpressing *FaNES1* in mitochondria, which contains the sesquiterpene precursor farnesyl diphosphate (FPP). This manipulation led to the synthesis and emission of (3*S*)-(*E*)-nerolidol as well as the C₁₁ homoterpene 4,8-dimethyl-1,3(*E*),7-nonatriene [(*E*)-DMNT], believed to be a degradation product of nerolidol, and rendered the plants attractive to the carnivorous predatory mites *Phytoseiulus persimilis*, natural enemies of spider mites [27*].

In another successful example, the overexpression of a maize terpene synthase gene (*TPS10*) in *Arabidopsis* resulted in transgenic plants with strong emission of several sesquiterpenes that are typically released (in maize) after herbivory by lepidopteran larvae. These transgenic plants were more attractive to the female parasitic wasp *Cotesia marginiventris*, which had had a previous oviposition experience with larvae of the potential host [28*]. Moreover, production of the volatile patchoulol and 13 additional sesquiterpene products in transgenic tobacco overexpressing patchoulol synthase (*PTS*) deterred tobacco hornworms, a majority of which had migrated away from leaves of the transgenic plants to the leaves of wild-type plants and consumed 20–50% more of the wild-type plants within six hours [29**].

Attempts at metabolic engineering of volatile signals involved in direct and indirect defenses have not been restricted to terpenoids. An increase in (*Z*)-3-hexenal, a major green leaf volatile, was achieved in transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing either the yeast acyl-CoA $\Delta 9$ desaturase or the insect acyl-CoA $\Delta 11$ desaturase. The expression of these transgenes resulted in elevated levels of 16:1 fatty acids and increased 13-lipoxygenase activity, which catalyzes the first step to hexenal production from α -linolenic acid [30]. While the effect of elevated levels of (*Z*)-3-hexenal on insect behavior was not investigated in this study, the negative effect of this compound on aphid performance was demonstrated in transgenic potato plants with reduced levels of the hydroperoxide lyase enzyme, which is responsible for the cleavage of fatty acid hydroperoxides to C₆ aldehydes [10].

These studies have demonstrated both the potential of genetic engineering for the improvement of plant defense as well as the role of some volatile compounds in plant–insect interactions. Despite this progress, they have also revealed the effect of genetic perturbations on plant growth and development, and uncovered some challenges to achieving efficient production of the desired volatile terpenoid compounds. In fact, the diversion of carbon to linalool production in *Arabidopsis* via *FaNES1* overexpression, while not effecting the levels of plastid-

derived isoprenoids such as chlorophylls, lutein and β -carotene, led to a growth-retardation phenotype that was inherited through several generations of transgenic plants [11]. Emission of linalool in transgenic potato resulted in a more severe phenotype; in addition to growth retardation, plants had bleached leaves after their transfer from *in vitro* to the greenhouse [26]. Leaf chlorosis, vein clearing, and reduced stature were also observed in transgenic tobacco producing high levels of patchoulol as a result of the expression of *PTS* coupled with FPP synthase, both targeted to the plastids [29**]. These observed phenotypes could be the consequences of the depletion of isoprenoid precursors for other metabolites essential for plant growth and development, or possibly the toxic effects of the newly introduced terpenoids in plant cells.

For successful metabolic engineering of the volatile spectrum, it is important to produce and emit sufficient amounts of the desired compounds. However, the metabolic fate of newly synthesized compounds will be determined by the entire biochemical repertoire of the plant used. Since a complete understanding of the biochemical repertoire in any plant species is not available, it is difficult to predict how much of the desired compound will actually remain in the desired form. For example, the newly synthesized compounds may be affected by enzymes that are normally present in the cell and have broad substrate specificity, such as dehydrogenases, glucosyl transferases, and others [31]. Presently there is little knowledge of such enzymes in general and their specific distribution in different plant species. In fact, in transgenic *Arabidopsis* constitutively expressing *FaNES1*, part of the free linalool was subjected to hydroxylation and glycosylation by endogenous enzymes (and, as mentioned previously, when nerolidol was produced, some of it was degraded to the C₁₁ homoterpene (*E*)-DMNT). Moreover, the total levels of glycosides of linalool and its derivatives were at least 10-fold higher than those of the free alcohols [11]. Interestingly, this glycosylation profile was different from that detected in linalool-producing transgenic potato, while the 8-hydroxy derivatives of linalool ((*E*)-8-hydroxy linalool, (*Z*)-8-hydroxy linalool and (*E*)-8-hydroxy 6,7-dihydrolinalool) were identical in both species [11,26].

The initial attempts to increase terpenoid production in transgenic plants showed that metabolic engineering of sesquiterpenes is a more challenging task and is not as straightforward as the generation of monoterpenes, which are formed exclusively or at least predominantly via the methylerythritol phosphate (MEP) pathway in the plastids. In many cases, FPP, which is expected to be produced in relatively large amounts for sterol biosynthesis, is not readily available for catalysis by introduced sesquiterpene synthases (reviewed in [5]). In addition, the contribution of the cytosolic mevalonic acid (MVA) and plastidic MEP pathways to sesquiterpene formation and

4 Plant Biotechnology

thus trafficking of isoprenoid intermediates between organelles depends on the plant species, tissue and physiological state of the plant [32–35]. To date, the over-expression of *TPS10* and *PTS* in Arabidopsis and tobacco, respectively, represent the two most successful attempts at producing high levels of volatile sesquiterpenes by enzymes targeted to the cytosol [28*,29**]. However, to achieve emission of (3*S*)-(*E*)-nerolidol and (*E*)-DMNT in Arabidopsis, *FaNES1* had to be directed to the mitochondria [27*]. In addition, targeting *PTS* along with FPP synthase to the plastids increased the amount of produced patchoulol up to 100 times compared with its cytosolic formation [29**].

It has only recently been appreciated that plants emit volatile compounds from their roots into the rhizosphere [36–38]. Such volatiles may help the plant attract beneficial microorganisms and ward off harmful ones. They may also be useful in competition between plant species [39]. However, it has been shown that some parasitic plants use belowground volatile compounds to locate their hosts [38]. At present there are no reports of genetic engineering attempts to change root volatile emission in order to improve plant fitness; this is clearly a very fertile area for future work.

Metabolic engineering of floral volatiles

In contrast to metabolic engineering of vegetative volatiles where the effect of altered emission profiles on insect behavior was investigated, the impact of changes in floral scent on insect attraction has not yet been studied. Moreover, perception assessments have generally been limited to sensory evaluations by humans, whose odor threshold perception is much lower than that of insects [40,41]. In such experiments, metabolic engineering of floral volatiles was considered successful when the changes in scent profiles were significant enough for human detection. For example, the olfactorily detectable enhancement of volatiles emitted from flowers and leaves was achieved in transgenic tobacco via the introduction of three citrus monoterpene synthases [42*,43]. In another experiment, the redirection of the metabolic flux from the anthocyanin pathway towards benzoic acid in transgenic carnations resulted in an increase of methylbenzoate production which was sufficient for olfactory detection by humans [44]. However, many more attempts to modify the scent bouquet were less successful for different reasons including the absence of suitable substrates for the introduced reaction [45,46], modification of the scent compound into a non-volatile form [47], insufficient levels of emitted volatiles for olfactory detection by humans, or masking of introduced compound(s) by other volatiles [48].

The elimination of some volatile compounds from the floral bouquet is another approach which has recently been used for scent modifications. Transgenic petunias

lacking methylbenzoate [49], phenylacetaldehyde [50], benzylbenzoate and phenylethylbenzoate [51**], and isoeugenol [52] were obtained via RNAi-mediated posttranscriptional gene silencing. The effect of these changes on human perception has not yet been tested with the exception of the plants with lower levels of methylbenzoate emission. In this case, the panelists reacted negatively by complaining that flowers were less fragrant [49].

Improvement of aroma quality of fruits, vegetables and herbs

Volatiles are important determinants of the overall aroma properties and taste of fruits [53]. In nature, volatiles contribute to seed dispersion by increasing fruit attractiveness. Volatiles released from vegetative parts of plants may also be attractive to some animals or insects as foodstuff, even though they are unpalatable to most other herbivores.

The presence of volatiles in fruits, vegetables and herbs has important influence on the cultivation of many plant species. As extensive breeding programs are undertaken to maximize certain attributes of foodstuff – for example, overall yield (i.e. size), total solids, sugar content, or pigmentation – less attention is devoted to enhancing or even maintaining volatile production. As a result many current cultivars of domesticated plant species produce less volatiles than their wild relatives or earlier cultivars [54].

Reintroduction of aroma volatiles can be achieved by classical breeding, as was done in tomato by crossing it with its relative *L. peruvianum* [55]. However, this is a laborious and time-consuming process which requires the monitoring of a complex trait. For example, volatile collections and analyses must initially be done with expensive gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) instruments and subsequently human evaluations must also be performed by subjective test panels as it is not yet possible to predict how humans will react to a given mixture of volatile compounds. Human evaluation of smells is particularly subjective because of interspecific variation in the ability to detect specific compounds and the lack of shared vocabulary to describe specific smells. These complications have indeed contributed to the lack of emphasis in most breeding programs on the aroma of produce.

Genetic engineering can ameliorate some drawbacks of classical plant breeding and enhance aroma of fruits. One advantage of this approach is that it is less complex – introducing a single trait at a time. Another is that it allows the introduction of genes whose coding information may not be present in the cultivar. Several recent reviews have enumerated general problems and pitfalls of genetic engineering for biochemical traits (e.g. [56,57]), therefore we will not repeat these caveats here, with the exception of two worth mentioning again. First is that the addition

Table 1

Genes used in the metabolic engineering of [volatile] compounds

| Gene | Origin | Engineered species | Changes in volatile spectrum | Reference |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|-----------|
| Linalool synthase | <i>Clarkia breweri</i> | tomato plastid | (S)-linalool ↑, 8-hydroxylinalool ↑ | [61] |
| | | petunia plastid | linalool glycoside ↑ | [47] |
| | | carnation plastid | (S)-linalool ↑, linalool oxide ↑ | [48] |
| Linalool/nerolidol synthase | <i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> | Arabidopsis plastid | (S)-linalool ↑, hydroxylated and glycosylated linalool ↑, nerolidol ↑ | [11] |
| | | potato plastid | linalool ↑, hydroxylated and glycosylated linalool ↑ | [26] |
| | | Arabidopsis mitochondria | (3S)-(E)-nerolidol ↑ (E)-DMNT ↑ | [27] |
| Limonene synthase | <i>Perilla frutescens</i> | tobacco plastid | limonene ↑ | [66] |
| | | tobacco cytosol | limonene ↑ | [66] |
| | | tobacco ER | no changes | [66] |
| | <i>Mentha spicata</i> | peppermint plastid | no changes | [67] |
| | | <i>Mentha arvensis</i> and <i>M. x piperita</i> plastids | no significant changes | [65, 68] |
| γ-Terpinene synthase | <i>Citrus limon</i> | → tobacco plastid | γ-terpinene ↑, limonene ↑, β-pinene ↑ and side products ↑ | [42] |
| β-pinene synthase | <i>Citrus limon</i> | | | |
| Geraniol synthase | <i>Ocimum basilicum</i> | | | |
| Patchoulol synthase | <i>Pogostemon cablin</i> | tomato plastid | geraniol ↑ and its derivatives ↑ | [62] |
| | | tobacco cytosol and plastid | patchoulol ↑ and 13 sesquiterpenes ↑ | [29] |
| Terpene synthase | <i>Zea mays</i> | Arabidopsis cytosol | (E)-α-bergamotene ↑, (E)-β-farnesene ↑, other herbivore-induced sesquiterpenes ↑ | [28] |
| TPS10 | | | | |
| Germacrene A synthase | <i>Cichorium intybus</i> | Arabidopsis cytosol | germacrene A ↑ | [11] |
| Limonene-3-hydroxylase | <i>Mentha x piperita</i> | <i>Mentha x piperita</i> ER | limonene ↑, menthone ↓, menthol ↓, menthofuran ↓, isomenthone ↓ | [65] |
| | <i>Mentha spicata</i> | tobacco ER | (+)-trans-isopiperitenol ↑ and its derivatives ↑ | [69] |
| Menthofuran synthase | <i>Mentha x piperita</i> | <i>Mentha x piperita</i> ER | menthofuran ↓, pulegone ↓, menthol ↑ | [64] |
| BSMT | <i>Petunia hybrida</i> | petunia | methylbenzoate ↓ | [49] |
| PAAS | <i>Petunia hybrida</i> | petunia | phenylacetaldehyde ↓, 2-phenylethanol ↓ | [50] |
| BPBT | <i>Petunia hybrida</i> | petunia | benzylbenzoate ↓, phenylethylbenzoate ↓, benzylalcohol ↑, benzylaldehyde ↑ | [51] |
| CFAT | <i>Petunia hybrida</i> | petunia | isoeugenol ↓ | [52] |
| AADC | <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> | tomato | phenylacetaldehyde ↑, 2-phenylethanol ↑, 1-nitro-2-phenylathane ↑ | [70] |
| ODO1 | <i>Petunia hybrida</i> | petunia | volatile benzenoids ↓ | [71] |
| PAR | <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> | petunia | 2-phenylethanol ↑, phenylacetaldehyde ↓ | [72] |
| AAT | <i>Rosa hybrida</i> | petunia | benzyl acetate ↑, phenylethyl acetate ↑ | [73] |
| | <i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> | petunia | no changes | [45] |
| BEAT | <i>Clarkia breweri</i> | lisianthus | no changes | [46] |
| CCD | <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> | tomato | β-ionone ↓, pseudoionone ↓, geranylacetone ↓ | [74] |
| | | petunia | β-ionone ↓ | [75] |
| ADH | <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> | tomato | hexanol ↑, (Z)-3-hexenol ↑ | [59] |
| acyl-CoA Δ9 desaturase | <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> | tobacco | cis-3-hexenal ↑, trans-2, 4-hexadienal ↑ | [30] |
| | | tomato | cis-3-hexenol ↑, 1-hexanol ↑, hexanal ↑, cis-3-hexenal ↑, 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one ↑, 2-isobutylthiazole ↑ | [58] |
| acyl-CoA Δ11 desaturase | <i>Trichoplusia ni</i> | tobacco | cis-3-hexenal ↑, trans-2, 4-hexadienal ↑ | [30] |
| β-glucosidase | <i>Aspergillus niger</i> | tobacco | 2-ethylhexanol ↑, β-caryophyllene ↑, cembrene ↑, linalool ↑, nerolidol ↑, furanoid cis-linalool oxide ↑, 4-methyl-1-pentanol ↑, 6-methyl-hept-5-en-2-ol ↑ | [76] |
| LOX (TomloxC) (NaLOX3) (LOXH1) | <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> | tomato plastid | hexanal ↓, hexenal ↓, hexenol ↓ | [77] |
| | <i>Nicotiana attenuata</i> | tobacco | no changes in the wound-induced GLVs | [78] |
| | <i>Solanum tuberosum</i> | potato | hexanal ↓, (E)-2-hexenal ↓, hexanol ↓, (Z)-3-hexenol ↓, (E)-2-hexenol ↓ | [79] |
| HPL | <i>Solanum tuberosum</i> | potato | hexanal ↓, 3-hexenal ↓, C5 volatiles ↑ | [10, 79] |
| | <i>Nicotiana attenuata</i> | tobacco | GLVs ↓ | [80] |
| | <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> | Arabidopsis | (E)-2-hexenol ↓, hexyl acetate ↓, C5 volatiles ↑ | [81] |
| AOS | <i>Nicotiana attenuata</i> | tobacco | GLVs ↓, the wound-induced (Z)-3-hexenal ↑ | [80] |

AADC, aromatic L-amino acid decarboxylase; AAT, alcohol acetyltransferases; ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; AOS, allene oxide synthase; BPBT, benzylalcohol/phenylethanol benzoyltransferase; BSMT, benzoic acid/salicylic acid carboxyl methyltransferase; CCD, carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase; CFAT, coniferyl alcohol acetyltransferase; GLV, green leaf volatiles; HPL, hydroperoxide lyase; ODO1, ODORANT1; PAAS, phenylacetaldehyde synthase; PAR, 2-phenylacetaldehyde reductase; LOX, lipoxygenase.

6 Plant Biotechnology

of a single gene is unlikely to result in a substantial production of the desired volatile if the formation of this compound is the end result of a long metabolic pathway. Second, and perhaps even more important, is that a single new volatile is generally unlikely to change the consumers' overall perception regarding the flavor and aroma quality of produce.

The overexpression of a yeast $\Delta 9$ -desaturase [58] and a non-specific alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) [59,60] in tomato fruit were early attempts to circumvent these problems. In these cases, the concentrations of various aroma compounds derived from fatty acids such as (*Z*)-3-hexenol, (*Z*)-3-hexenal and/or the ratios of the aldehydes to alcohols changed. The higher levels of alcohols in transgenic fruits were associated with more intense ripe flavor by taste panelists [59]. However, neither of these manipulations introduced new aroma compounds.

The introduction of the *Clarkia breweri* linalool synthase (*LIS*) gene into tomato under the control of the fruit-specific *E8* promoter was the first attempt at adding a new compound to fruit flavor. It resulted in the accumulation in the fruit of small amounts of linalool and its oxidation product, 8-hydroxylinalool, which were detectable by both GC-MS and the human nose [61]. This metabolic manipulation was accomplished because linalool is produced from geranyl diphosphate (GPP) by *LIS* in a single step and GPP is an intermediate in the synthesis of carotenoids, a pathway that is highly active in ripening tomato fruits. The synthesis of linalool and 8-hydroxylinalool did not affect the total amounts of carotenoids produced by the fruit; however, the amounts of these monoterpenes were also not sufficient to substantially change the overall flavor perception by humans (Lewinsohn and Pichersky, unpublished data).

A much stronger effect on flavor perception was recently achieved by Davidovich-Rikanati *et al.* [62**] by expressing geraniol synthase (*GES*) in tomato under the polygalacturonase promoter, another fruit ripening-specific promoter. Geraniol is also an acyclic monoterpene alcohol that is synthesized in one step from GPP. Unlike linalool, which is a tertiary alcohol whose hydroxyl group cannot be further oxidized, geraniol is a primary alcohol that can easily be oxidized to geranial by non-specific alcohol dehydrogenases [62**]. *GES*-transgenic tomato fruits synthesized large amounts of geraniol, which led to a noticeable decrease in pigmentation. Moreover, transgenic fruits further metabolized geraniol to geranial, which underwent spontaneous tautomerization to neral. Neral and geranial together make a mixture called citral, which imparts a strong lemon flavor. Geranial and neral were also further metabolized to geranic and neric acids, respectively. Additional modifications of geranial and neral resulted in the formation of nerol, citronellol, citronellal, citronelic acid, citronellyl acetate, and rose oxide

[62**]. When these transgenic fruits were evaluated by a test panel of 34 people, the majority of participants (80%) indicated that the fruits had stronger aroma, and more than 60% of the panel members preferred the transgenic fruits over the non-transgenic ones.

Attempts to modify vegetative plant volatile production for human consumption have lagged behind the efforts made with tomato fruit. However, recent work in peppermint on boosting the production of terpenes favored by humans (e.g. menthol) and decreasing the synthesis of unfavored compounds (e.g. menthofuran) were partially successful [63,64,65]. Using antisense technology, transgenic plants were obtained that had a 50% reduction in menthofuran concentration, while other transgenic plants showed some increase in the concentrations of limonene, a cyclic monoterpene. However, an assessment of consumer responses to the aroma properties of these transgenic mint plants has not yet been reported.

Conclusions

In the past several years we have witnessed significant progress in both identifying genes and enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of volatiles compounds and our ability to manipulate the volatile spectrum in plants (Table 1). However, metabolic manipulations often yield unpredictable results, highlighting our lack of a comprehensive understanding of plant metabolic networks and their regulation, including our rudimentary knowledge concerning network organization, the subcellular localization of the enzyme involved, competing pathways, metabolic channeling, flux-controlling steps and possible feedback control. Additional molecular and biochemical characterization in combination with metabolic flux analysis and computer assisted modeling [51**] must be carried out to provide the theoretical foundation for successful manipulation of the volatile spectrum and to identify targets for future metabolic engineering. The identification of key compounds involved in volatile-induced plant defenses, as well as insect attraction, and their effects on insect behavior in field studies, will also greatly contribute to target selection.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Science Foundation /USDA-NRI Interagency Metabolic Engineering Program, grants MCB 0331333 (ND) and MCB 0331353 (EP), and by grant from Fred Gloeckner Foundation, Inc to ND. This paper is contribution No 2007-18268 from Purdue University Agricultural Experimental Station.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Pichersky E, Gang DR: **Genetics and biochemistry of secondary metabolites in plants: an evolutionary perspective.** *Trends Plant Sci* 2000, **5**:439-445.

2. Lückner J, Verhoeven HA, Van der Plas LHW, Bouwmeester HJ: **Molecular engineering of floral scent.** In *Biology of Floral Scent*. Edited by Dudareva N, Pichersky E. CRC Press; 2006:321-337.
3. Dudareva N, Pichersky E: **Metabolic engineering of floral scent of ornamentals.** *J Crop Improvement* 2006, **18**:325-346.
4. Degenhardt J, Gershenzon J, Baldwin IT, Kessler A: **Attracting friends to feast on foes: engineering terpene emission to make crop plants more attractive to herbivore enemies.** *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2003, **14**:169-176.
5. Aharoni A, Jongsma MA, Bouwmeester HJ: **Volatile science? Metabolic engineering of terpenoids in plants.** *Trends Plant Sci* 2005, **10**:594-602.
6. Dicke M, Van Loon JJA: **Multitrophic effects of herbivore-induced plant volatiles in an evolutionary context.** *Entomol Exp Appl* 2000, **97**:237-249.
7. Bernasconi ML, Turlings TCJ, Ambrosetti L, Bassetti P, Dorn S: **Herbivore-induced emissions of maize volatiles repel the corn leaf aphid *Rhopalosiphum maidis*.** *Entomol Exp Appl* 1998, **87**:133-142.
8. De Moraes CM, Mescher MC, Tumlinson JH: **Caterpillar-induced nocturnal plant volatiles repel nonspecific females.** *Nature* 2001, **410**:577-580.
9. Kessler A, Baldwin IT: **Defensive function of herbivore-induced plant volatile emissions in nature.** *Science* 2001, **291**:2142-2143.
10. Vancanneyt G, Sanz C, Farmaki T, Paneque M, Ortego F, Castanera P, Sanchez-Serrano JJ: **Hydroperoxide lyase depletion in transgenic potato plants leads to an increase in aphid performance.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001, **98**:8139-8144.
11. Aharoni A, Giri AP, Deurleijn S, Griepink F, de Kogel WJ, Verstappen FWA, Verhoeven HA, Jongsma MA, Schwab W, Bouwmeester HJ: **Terpenoid metabolism in wild-type and transgenic Arabidopsis plants.** *Plant Cell* 2003, **15**:2866-2884.
12. Seybold SJ, Huber DPW, Lee JC, Graves AD, Bohlmann J: **Pine monoterpenes and pine bark beetles: a marriage of convenience for defense and chemical communication.** *Phytochem Rev* 2006, **5**:143-178.
13. Mercke P, Kappers IF, Verstappen FWA, Vorst O, Dicke M, Bouwmeester HJ: **Combined transcript and metabolite analysis reveals genes involved in spider mite induced volatile formation in cucumber plants.** *Plant Physiol* 2004, **135**:2012-2024.
14. Arimura G, Ozawa R, Kugimiya S, Takabayashi J, Bohlmann J: **Herbivore-induced defense response in a model legume: Two-spotted spider mites, *Tetranychus urticae*, induce emission of (*E*)- β -ocimene and transcript accumulation of (*E*)- β -ocimene synthase in *Lotus japonicus*.** *Plant Physiol* 2004, **135**:1976-1983.
15. Degen T, Dillmann C, Marion-Poll F, Turlings TCJ: **High genetic variability of herbivore-induced volatile emission within a broad range of maize inbred lines.** *Plant Physiol* 2004, **135**:1928-1938.
16. Khan ZR, Pickett JA, van den Berg J, Wadhams LJ, Woodcock CM: **Exploiting chemical ecology and species diversity: stem borer and striga control for maize and sorghum in Africa.** *Pest Manage Sci* 2000, **56**:957-962.
17. Horiuchi JI, Arimura GI, Ozawa R, Shimoda T, Dicke M, Takabayashi J, Nishioka T: **Lima bean leaves exposed to herbivore-induced conspecific plant volatiles attract herbivores in addition to carnivores.** *Appl Entomol Zool* 2003, **38**:365-368.
18. Engelberth J, Alborn HT, Schmelz EA, Tumlinson JH: **Airborne signals prime plants against insect herbivore attack.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004, **101**:1781-1785.
The authors report that exposure to green leafy volatiles prime neighboring plants to respond more strongly against subsequent herbivore attack by increasing biosynthesis of jasmonic acid and release of typical herbivore-induced volatiles.
19. Kessler A, Halitschke R, Diezel C, Baldwin IT: **Priming of plant defense responses in nature by airborne signaling between *Artemisia tridentata* and *Nicotiana attenuata*.** *Oecologia* 2006, **148**:280-292.
20. Ton J, D'Alessandro M, Jourdie V, Jakab G, Karlen D, Held M, Mauch-Mani B, Turlings TCJ: **Priming by airborne signals boosts direct and indirect resistance in maize.** *Plant J* 2007, **49**:16-26.
The authors present molecular, chemical and behavioral evidence that exposure to volatile compounds emitted from caterpillar-infested maize primes a subset of defense-related genes for earlier and/or stronger transcriptional induction upon subsequent defense elicitation.
21. Frost CJ, Appel M, Carlson JE, De Moraes CM, Mescher MC, Schultz JC: **Within-plant signalling via volatiles overcomes vascular constraints on systemic signalling and primes responses against herbivores.** *Ecol Lett* 2007, **10**:490-498.
22. Heil M, Bueno JCS: **Within-plant signaling by volatiles leads to induction and priming of an indirect plant defense in nature.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007, **104**:5467-5472.
The authors show that herbivore-induced volatiles can function as external signals for within-plant communication to prime an indirect defense in undamaged parts of the plant under natural conditions.
23. Conrath U, Beckers GJM, Flors V, Garcia-Agustin P, Jakab G, Mauch F, Newman MA, Pieterse CMJ, Poinssot B, Pozo MJ *et al.*: **Priming: getting ready for battle.** *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 2006, **19**:1062-1071.
24. van Hulten M, Pelser M, van Loon LC, Pieterse CMJ, Ton J: **Costs and benefits of priming for defense in Arabidopsis.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006, **103**:5602-5607.
In this paper the authors show that priming involves considerably lower costs than induction of direct defense, experimentally demonstrating the benefits of priming.
25. Runyon JB, Mescher MC, De Moraes CM: **Volatile chemical cues guide host location and host selection by parasitic plants.** *Science* 2006, **313**:1964-1967.
26. Aharoni A, Jongsma MA, Kim TY, Ri MB, Giri AP, Verstappen FWA, Schwab W, Bouwmeester HJ: **Metabolic engineering of terpenoid biosynthesis in plants.** *Phytochem Rev* 2006, **5**:49-58.
27. Kappers IF, Aharoni A, van Herpen TWJM, Luckerhoff LLP, Dicke M, Bouwmeester HJ: **Genetic engineering of terpenoid metabolism attracts, bodyguards to Arabidopsis.** *Science* 2005, **309**:2070-2072.
The authors use a metabolic engineering approach to investigate the effect of sesquiterpene on the attractiveness of Arabidopsis to beneficial arthropods. High levels of sesquiterpene emission were achieved by switching the subcellular localization of sesquiterpene synthase to the mitochondria.
28. Schnee C, Kollner TG, Held M, Turlings TCJ, Gershenzon J, Degenhardt J: **The products of a single maize sesquiterpene synthase form a volatile defense signal that attracts natural enemies of maize herbivores.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006, **103**:1129-1134.
The introduction of a maize sesquiterpene synthase to Arabidopsis resulted in transgenic plants more attractive to beneficial arthropods, highlighting the importance of volatile signals in tritrophic interactions. This study also represents the first example of heterologous production of high levels of sesquiterpenes by an enzyme targeted to the Arabidopsis cytoplasm.
29. Wu SQ, Schalk M, Clark A, Miles RB, Coates R, Chappell J: **Redirection of cytosolic or plastidic isoprenoid precursors elevates terpene production in plants.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2006, **24**:1441-1447.
In this elegant study the authors developed production platforms for high-level terpene biosynthesis in plants by diverting key metabolic intermediates in different intracellular compartments to a target compound. The distinctive fragrance of transgenic plants allowed the authors to investigate the effect of newly introduced sesquiterpenes on insect behavior.
30. Hong M, Zilinskas BA, Knipple DC, Chin CK: **cis-3-Hexenal production in tobacco is stimulated by 16-carbon monounsaturated fatty acids.** *Phytochemistry* 2004, **65**:159-168.
31. Dudareva N, Pichersky E, Gershenzon J: **Biochemistry of plant volatiles.** *Plant Physiol* 2004, **135**:1893-1902.
32. Steliopoulos P, Wust M, Adam KP, Mosandl A: **Biosynthesis of the sesquiterpene germacrene D in *Solidago canadensis*: ¹³C and ²H labeling studies.** *Phytochemistry* 2002, **60**:13-20.

8 Plant Biotechnology

33. Hampel D, Mosandl A, Wust M: **Biosynthesis of mono- and sesquiterpenes in carrot roots and leaves (*Daucus carota* L.): metabolic cross talk of cytosolic mevalonate and plastidial methylerythritol phosphate pathways.** *Phytochemistry* 2005, **66**:305-311.
34. Hampel D, Mosandl A, Wust M: **Induction of *de novo* volatile terpene biosynthesis via cytosolic and plastidial pathways by methyl jasmonate in foliage of *Vitis vinifera* L.** *J Agric Food Chem* 2005, **53**:2652-2657.
35. Dudareva N, Andersson S, Orlova I, Gatto N, Reichelt M, Rhodes D, Boland W, Gershenzon J: **The nonmevalonate pathway supports both monoterpene and sesquiterpene formation in snapdragon flowers.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005, **102**:933-938.
36. Chen F, Ro D-K, Petri J, Gershenzon J, Bohlmann J, Pichersky E, Tholl D: **Characterization of a root-specific Arabidopsis terpene synthase responsible for the formation of the volatile monoterpene 1,8-cineole.** *Plant Physiol* 2004, **135**:1956-1966.
37. Rasmann S, Köllner TG, Degenhardt J, Hiltbold I, Toepfer S, Kuhlmann U, Gershenzon J, Turlings TCJ: **Recruitment of entomopathogenic nematodes by insect-damaged maize roots.** *Nature* 2005, **434**:732-737.
38. Bouwmeester HJ, Roux C, Lopez-Raez JA, Bécard G: **Rhizosphere communication of plants, parasitic plants and AM fungi.** *Trends Plant Sci* 2007, **12**:224-230.
39. Horiuchi J, Badri DV, Kimball BA, Negre F, Dudareva N, Paschke MW, Vivanco JM: **The floral volatile, methyl benzoate, from snapdragon (*Antirrhinum majus*) triggers phytotoxic effects in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.** *Planta* 2007, **226**:1-10.
40. Stockhorst U, Pietrowsky R: **Olfactory perception, communication, and the nose-to-brain pathway.** *Physiol Behav* 2004, **83**:3-11.
41. Vosshall LB: **Olfaction in *Drosophila*.** *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2000, **10**:498-503.
42. Lücker J, Schwab W, Van Hautum B, Blaas J, Van der Plas LHW, Bouwmeester HJ, Verhoeven HA: **Increased and altered fragrance of tobacco plants after metabolic engineering using three monoterpene synthases from lemon.** *Plant Physiol* 2004, **134**:510-519.
- Unprecedented simultaneous expression of three introduced terpene synthases in tobacco plants led to olfactorily detectable changes in the blend of monoterpenes produced by flowers and leaves. Competition of introduced terpene synthases for the same substrate, geranyl diphosphate, allowed the authors to investigate the involvement of substrate in the regulation of monoterpene emission.
43. El Tamer MK, Smeets M, Holthuysen N, Lucker J, Tang A, Roozen J, Bouwmeester HJ, Voragen AGJ: **The influence of monoterpene synthase transformation on the odour of tobacco.** *J Biotechnol* 2003, **106**:15-21.
44. Zuke A, Tzfira T, Ben-Meir H, Ovadis M, Shklarman E, Itzhaki H, Forkmann G, Martens S, Neta-Sharir I, Weiss D *et al.*: **Modification of flower color and fragrance by antisense suppression of the flavanone 3-hydroxylase gene.** *Mol Breed* 2002, **9**:33-41.
45. Beekwilder J, Alvarez-Huerta M, Neef E, Verstappen FWA, Bouwmeester HJ, Aharoni A: **Substrate usage by recombinant alcohol acyltransferases from various fruit species.** *Plant Physiol* 2004, **135**:1865-1878.
46. Aranovich D, Lewinsohn E, Zaccai M: **Post-harvest enhancement of aroma in transgenic lisianthus (*Eustoma grandiflorum*) using the *Clarkia breweri* benzyl alcohol acetyltransferase (BEAT) gene.** *Postharvest Biol Technol* 2007, **43**:255-260.
47. Lücker J, Bouwmeester HJ, Schwab W, Blaas J, Van der Plas LHW, Verhoeven HA: **Expression of *Clarkia* S-linalool synthase in transgenic petunia plants results in the accumulation of S-linalyl-beta-D-glucopyranosid.** *Plant J* 2001, **27**:315-324.
48. Lavy M, Zuker A, Lewinsohn E, Larkov O, Ravid U, Vainstein A, Weiss D: **Linalool and linalool oxide production in transgenic carnation flowers expressing the *Clarkia breweri* linalool synthase gene.** *Mol Breed* 2002, **9**:103-111.
49. Underwood BA, Tieman DM, Shibuya K, Dexter RJ, Loucas HM, Simkin AJ, Sims CA, Schmelz EA, Klee HJ, Clark DG: **Ethylene-regulated floral volatile synthesis in petunia corollas.** *Plant Physiol* 2005, **138**:255-266.
50. Kaminaga Y, Schnepf J, Peel G, Kish CM, Ben-Nissan G, Weiss D, Orlova I, Lavie O, Rhodes D, Wood K *et al.*: **Plant phenylacetaldehyde synthase is a bifunctional homotetrameric enzyme that catalyzes phenylalanine decarboxylation and oxidation.** *J Biol Chem* 2006, **281**:23357-23366.
51. Orlova I, Marshall-Colon A, Schnepf J, Wood B, Varbanova M, Fridman E, Blakeslee JJ, Peer WA, Murphy AS, Rhodes D *et al.*: **Reduction of benzenoid synthesis in petunia flowers reveals multiple pathways to benzoic acid and enhancement in auxin transport.** *Plant Cell* 2006, **18**:3458-3475.
- For the first time metabolic engineering was used to test the predictions of flux models generated based on *in vivo* isotope labeling and metabolic flux analysis thus demonstrating the power of flux modeling for predicting outcomes of metabolic engineering efforts.
52. Dexter R, Qualley A, Kish CM, Ma CJ, Koeduka T, Nagegowda DA, Dudareva N, Pichersky E, Clark D: **Characterization of a petunia acetyltransferase involved in the biosynthesis of the floral volatile isoeugenol.** *Plant J* 2007, **49**:265-275.
53. Goff SA, Klee HJ: **Plant volatile compounds: Sensory cues for health and nutritional value?** *Science* 2006, **311**:815-819.
54. Gutterson NC: **Molecular breeding for color, flavor and fragrance.** *Sci Hort* 1993, **55**:141-160.
55. Kamal AHM, Takashina T, Egashira H, Satoh H, Imanishi S: **Introduction of aromatic fragrance into cultivated tomato from the 'peruvianum complex'.** *Plant Breed* 2001, **120**:179-181.
56. Pichersky E, Dudareva N: **Scent engineering: toward the goal of controlling how flowers smell.** *Trends Biotechnol* 2007, **25**:105-110.
57. McCaskill D, Croteau R: **Some caveats for bioengineering terpenoid metabolism in plants.** *Trends Biotechnol* 1998, **16**:349-355.
58. Wang CL, Chin CK, Ho CT, Hwang CF, Polashock JJ, Martin CE: **Changes of fatty acids and fatty acid-derived flavor compounds by expressing the yeast Δ -9 desaturase gene in tomato.** *J Agric Food Chem* 1996, **44**:3399-3402.
59. Speirs J, Lee E, Holt K, Yong-Duk K, Scott NS, Loveys B, Schuch W: **Genetic manipulation of alcohol dehydrogenase levels in ripening tomato fruit affects the balance of some flavor aldehydes and alcohols.** *Plant Physiol* 1998, **117**:1047-1058.
60. Prestage S, Linforth RST, Taylor AJ, Lee E, Speirs J, Schuch W: **Volatile production in tomato fruit with modified alcohol dehydrogenase activity.** *J Sci Food Agric* 1999, **79**:131-136.
61. Lewinsohn E, Schalechet F, Wilkinson J, Matsui K, Tadmor Y, Nam KH, Amar O, Lastochkin E, Larkov O, Ravid U *et al.*: **Enhanced levels of the aroma and flavor compound S-linalool by metabolic engineering of the terpenoid pathway in tomato fruits.** *Plant Physiol* 2001, **127**:1256-1265.
62. Davidovich-Rikanati R, Sitrit Y, Tadmor Y, Iijima Y, Bilenko N, Bar E, Carmona B, Fallik E, Dudai N, Simon JE *et al.*: **Enrichment of tomato flavor by diversion of the early plastidial terpenoid pathway.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2007, **25**:899-901.
- This paper describes the first successful modification via metabolic engineering of tomato aroma and flavor as perceived by a human panel.
63. Mahmoud SS, Croteau R: **Metabolic engineering of essential oil yield and composition in mint by altering expression of deoxyxylulose phosphate reductoisomerase and menthofuran synthase.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001, **98**:8915-8920.
64. Mahmoud SS, Croteau RB: **Menthofuran regulates essential oil biosynthesis in peppermint by controlling a downstream monoterpene reductase.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003, **100**:14481-14486.

65. Mahmoud SS, Williams M, Croteau R: **Cosuppression of limonene-3-hydroxylase in peppermint promotes accumulation of limonene in the essential oil.** *Phytochemistry* 2004, **65**:547-554.
66. Ohara K, Ujihara T, Endo T, Sato F, Yazaki K: **Limonene production in tobacco with *Perilla* limonene synthase cDNA.** *J Exp Bot* 2003, **54**:2635-2642.
67. Krasnyanski S, May RA, Loskutov RA, Ball TM, Sink KC: **Transformation of the limonene synthase gene into peppermint (*Mentha piperita* L.) and preliminary studies on the essential oil profiles of single transgenic plants.** *Theor Appl Genet* 1999, **99**:676-682.
68. Diemer F, Caissard JC, Moja S, Chalchat JC, Jullien F: **Altered monoterpene composition in transgenic mint following the introduction of 4S-limonene synthase.** *Plant Physiol Biochem* 2001, **39**:603-614.
69. Lückner J, Schwab W, Franssen MCR, van der Plas LHW, Bouwmeester HJ, Verhoeven HA: **Metabolic engineering of monoterpene biosynthesis: two-step production of (+)-trans-isopiperitenol by tobacco.** *Plant J* 2004, **39**:135-145.
70. Tieman D, Taylor M, Schauer N, Fernie AR, Hanson AD, Klee HJ: **Tomato aromatic amino acid decarboxylases participate in synthesis of the flavor volatiles 2-phenylethanol and 2-phenylacetaldehyde.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006, **103**:8287-8292.
71. Verdonk JC, Haring MA, van Tunen AJ, Schuurink RC: **ODORANT1 regulates fragrance biosynthesis in petunia flowers.** *Plant Cell* 2005, **17**:1612-1624.
72. Tieman DM, Loucas HM, Kim JY, Clark DG, Klee HJ: **Tomato phenylacetaldehyde reductases catalyze the last step in the synthesis of the aroma volatile 2-phenylethanol.** *Phytochemistry* 2007, **68**:2660-2669.
73. Guterman I, Masci T, Chen XL, Negre F, Pichersky E, Dudareva N, Weiss D, Vainstein A: **Generation of phenylpropanoid pathway-derived volatiles in transgenic plants: Rose alcohol acetyltransferase produces phenylethyl acetate and benzyl acetate in petunia flowers.** *Plant Mol Biol* 2006, **60**:555-563.
74. Simkin AJ, Schwartz SH, Auldridge M, Taylor MG, Klee HJ: **The tomato carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 1 genes contribute to the formation of the flavor volatiles beta-ionone, pseudoionone, and geranylacetone.** *Plant J* 2004, **40**:882-892.
75. Simkin AJ, Underwood BA, Auldridge M, Loucas HM, Shibuya K, Schmelz E, Clark DG, Klee HJ: **Circadian regulation of the PhCCD1 carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase controls emission of beta-ionone, a fragrance volatile of petunia flowers.** *Plant Physiol* 2004, **136**:3504-3514.
76. Wei S, Marton I, Dekel M, Shalitin D, Lewinsohn E, Bravdo BA, Shoseyov O: **Manipulating volatile emission in tobacco leaves by expressing *Aspergillus niger* β -glucosidase in different subcellular compartments.** *Plant Biotechnol J* 2004, **2**:341-350.
77. Chen GP, Hackett R, Walker D, Taylor A, Lin ZF, Grierson D: **Identification of a specific isoform of tomato lipoxygenase (TomloxC) involved in the generation of fatty acid-derived flavor compounds.** *Plant Physiol* 2004, **136**:2641-2651.
78. Halitschke R, Baldwin IT: **Antisense LOX expression increases herbivore performance by decreasing defense responses and inhibiting growth-related transcriptional reorganization in *Nicotiana attenuata*.** *Plant J* 2003, **36**:794-807.
79. Salas JJ, Sanchez CS, Garcia-Gonzalez DL, Aparicio R: **Impact of the suppression of lipoxygenase and hydroperoxide lyase on the quality of the green odor in green leaves.** *J Agric Food Chem* 2005, **53**:1648-1655.
80. Halitschke R, Ziegler J, Keinänen M, Baldwin IT: **Silencing of hydroperoxide lyase and allene oxide synthase reveals substrate and defense signaling crosstalk in *Nicotiana attenuata*.** *Plant J* 2004, **40**:35-46.
81. Salas JJ, Garcia-Gonzalez DL, Aparicio R: **Volatile compound biosynthesis by green leaves from an *Arabidopsis thaliana* hydroperoxide lyase knockout mutant.** *J Agric Food Chem* 2006, **54**:8199-8205.