FOCUS ARTICLE



Utilizing functional cell-free extracts to dissect ribonucleoprotein complex biology at single-molecule resolution

Elizabeth Duran¹ | Andreas Schmidt¹ | Robb Welty¹ | Ameya P. Jalihal² | Sethuramasundaram Pitchiaya³ | Nils G. Walter¹

¹Single Molecule Analysis Group, Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

²Department of Biology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

³Michigan Center for Translational Pathology, Department of Pathology, Department of Urology, Michigan Medicine, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Correspondence

Nils G. Walter, Single Molecule Analysis Group, Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1055, USA. Email: nwalter@umich.edu

Funding information

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Grant/Award Number: 449562930; National Institute of General Medical Sciences, Grant/Award Numbers: GM111725, GM131922, GM144735

Edited by: Jeff Wilusz, Editor-in-Chief

Abstract

Cellular machineries that drive and regulate gene expression often rely on the coordinated assembly and interaction of a multitude of proteins and RNA together called ribonucleoprotein complexes (RNPs). As such, it is challenging to fully reconstitute these cellular machines recombinantly and gain mechanistic understanding of how they operate and are regulated within the complex environment that is the cell. One strategy for overcoming this challenge is to perform single molecule fluorescence microscopy studies within crude or recombinantly supplemented cell extracts. This strategy enables elucidation of the interaction and kinetic behavior of specific fluorescently labeled biomolecules within RNPs under conditions that approximate native cellular environments. In this review, we describe single molecule fluorescence microcopy approaches that dissect RNP-driven processes within cellular extracts, highlighting general strategies used in these methods. We further survey biological advances in the areas of pre-mRNA splicing and transcription regulation that have been facilitated through this approach. Finally, we conclude with a summary of practical considerations for the implementation of the featured approaches to facilitate their broader future implementation in dissecting the mechanisms of RNP-driven cellular processes.

This article is categorized under:

- RNA Structure and Dynamics > RNA Structure, Dynamics and Chemistry
- RNA Interactions with Proteins and Other Molecules > RNA-Protein Complexes
- RNA Structure and Dynamics > Influence of RNA Structure in Biological Systems

K E Y W O R D S

cell extract, conformational change, macromolecular crowding, RNA:protein complex, single molecule fluorescence microscopy

1 | INTRODUCTION

The transfer of genome-encoded information into functional proteins and the complex regulatory mechanisms that control gene expression across all domains of life rely on the activity of ribonucleoprotein complexes (RNPs) with RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) at their core. For example, prokaryotic clustered regularly interspersed short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) based adaptive immunity mechanisms and eukaryotic gene regulatory mechanisms such as RNA splicing and RNA interference are each RNP-driven processes. In the CRISPR-mediated gene silencing mechanisms of bacteria and archaea, RNPs composed of a guide RNA and a CRISPR-associated endonuclease can target specific DNA cleavage sites, thereby blocking gene expression at the DNA level (Adli, 2018; Doudna & Charpentier, 2014). In eukaryotes, precursor mRNA (pre-mRNA) splicing relies on the formation of large RNP complexes that assemble into a sequence of stable spliceosome assemblies to mediate the identification of splice sites, excision of introns, and ligation of exons leading to mature mRNA synthesis (Wilkinson et al., 2020). In the RNA silencing mechanisms of eukaryotes, RNPs known as RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISC), formed through the association of microRNAs (miRNAs) or small interfering (si)RNAs with Argonaute (AGO) proteins, target RNA transcripts for translation regulation and/or degradation (O'Brien et al., 2018). In addition, PIWI-interacting (pi)RNAs form RNP complexes with PIWI proteins of the Argonaute family and maintain germline genomic integrity by repressing transposon activity (Ozata et al., 2019). Decades of detailed genetic, biochemical, and structural studies have largely elucidated the composition and molecular events carried out by these and other gene regulatory mechanisms. Yet what remains less clear is how RNP-mediated gene regulatory and gene expression mechanisms are influenced by the complex cellular environment.

Short-lived, often non-specific interactions between RBPs and potential targets dominate molecular interactions in the complex, crowded intracellular environment. Furthermore, RNPs rely on a network of specifically interacting regulatory components. RNP activity will thus be sensitive to small changes in their concentration and local cellular properties, especially those resulting from processes such as macromolecular phase separation (An et al., 2021; Rhine et al., 2022). All these factors may significantly perturb RNP-mediated mechanisms in cells. In eukaryotic gene silencing mechanisms, for example, it remains unclear how the activity of miRNAs or RISC-associated RBPs is modulated by competitive binding to untranslated regions (UTRs) of non-target RNAs (S. Kim et al., 2021). The accessibility of RBP target sites is also influenced by the conformational dynamics inherent to RNAs and RBPs. In pre-mRNA splicing for example, local RNA secondary structural perturbations can either enhance or inhibit the interactions with splicing factors, leading to direct effects on the splicing efficiency or identity of spliced products, with associated downstream effects (Warf & Berglund, 2010). Thus, one important aspect of studying native biochemical behavior of molecular RNP complexes is designing assays that approximate the complex environment of the cell and allow for characterization of the role that RNA conformational dynamics plays on their mechanisms.

Recent advances in single molecule fluorescence microscopy techniques such as fluorescence co-localization and single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (smFRET) have made it possible to follow coupled and nonequilibrium processes in gene expression (Roy et al., 2008; Serebrov & Moore, 2016). Studies with these techniques often follow two experimental designs: in vitro experiments with fully reconstituted, recombinant systems or experiments using live cells. Yet, each of these approaches impose significant constraints on studying complex RNP-driven mechanisms. On the one hand, in vitro experiments using purified proteins and mixtures of purified biomolecules offer a large degree of control and reproducibility. This allows one to address very targeted aspects of assembly dynamics and molecular rates. Yet, the large number of components and complex assemblies of much of the cellular expression machinery often precludes them from purification of single components and their functional reconstitution. Single molecule microscopy studies in cells, on the other hand, offer an alternative to fully reconstituted systems. These studies can elucidate the timing and localization of particular components within larger RNP assemblies, as in the case of tracking miRNA assembly within RNA-induced silencing complexes (Pitchiaya et al., 2012). However, due to the immense complexity of cells, biochemical RNP interactions cannot be well controlled in composition or environmental conditions, making it difficult to perturb these systems in a way that leads to direct insights about the molecular interactions underlying biological functions. Imaging within cells also raises significant measurement challenges such as limited fluorophore longevity, making it very difficult to address targeted mechanistic questions about RNP assembly and dynamics.

An alternative approach for approximating complex cellular environments that has proven effective for characterization of complex RNP biology is the reconstitution of the desired RNP system within cell extracts. Cell extracts have enabled seminal discoveries in RNA biology, including the first mechanistic insights of RNA silencing (Wightman et al., 1993) and various mechanistic aspects of RNA splicing (Padgett et al., 1983; Ruskin et al., 1984). In general, cellular extracts are prepared by culturing the targeted cell type up to the desired scale, lysing the cells, and subjecting the lysate to repeated centrifugal cycles to isolate the soluble fraction. Combining the use of reconstituted RNPs within cell extracts with biophysical techniques that allow imaging and tracking of RNP binding interactions can provide a way to discern RNP mechanisms in approximated native conditions, especially in cases where not all components can be made recombinantly.

Designing in vitro experiments that combine single molecule approaches with cell extracts therefore is a practical alternative to studying the complex reaction pathways of cellular machineries in close-to-native cellular environments with a high degree of control. In contrast to single molecule experiments performed using highly purified biomolecules, in these experiments the reaction trajectories of individually labeled biomolecules within cell extracts are followed. This approach has been applied extensively to large RNP systems that cannot (yet) be reconstituted from purified components, such as yeast and human spliceosomes. The strategy overcomes the substantial technical challenges of detailed biochemical RNP characterization in whole cells, while maintaining some important aspects of the native cellular environment such as physiologically relevant compositions that lead to RNA processing. This is a powerful strategy for mapping the molecular mechanisms of low-abundance or highly transient interactions within biomolecular pathways as has been shown for splicing biochemistry over many years and can be applied to many other RNP-processes.

In this review, we survey single molecule strategies for investigating genomic processes in crude or partially reconstituted cell extracts. We start by providing a historical context for the use of cell extracts to approximate RNP assembly and behavior within a cellular-like environment. We subsequently describe the single molecule fluorescence microscopy approaches that have enabled mechanistic understanding of RNP biology in cellular extracts and highlight some recent insights that these approaches have generated. Finally, we conclude with a summary of practical considerations and experimental designs to follow biomolecule trajectories in cell extracts in order to facilitate future discoveries using this approach.

2 | STUDYING MULTI-COMPONENT RNP SYSTEMS IN VITRO AND IN LIVE CELLS

2.1 | Historical perspective and the need to shift toward studying RNP biochemistry in cellular environments

Historically, in vitro purification has been pivotal in the characterization and evaluation of biomolecular systems. While originally restricted to only the most robust enzymes (Payen, 1838), the sophistication of this approach has matured for over 150 years. Experiments elucidating the biochemistry of RNPs can be traced back to work in the 1950s on the ribosome (Schachman et al., 1952). Piece-by-piece interrogation of ribosome assembly provided the basis for our understanding of the chemical foundations of the world's most conserved RNP (Moore, 1988). Since those early days, there have been great strides in RNP component structure determination (Schluenzen et al., 2000; Wimberly et al., 2000), mapping of component interactions (Korostelev et al., 2008; Noller et al., 2017), descriptions of intramolecular motion (Dashti et al., 2014), and assays of enzymatic activity (Monro, 1967). These biochemical methods provided a methodical approach to understanding the capabilities and properties of multi-component RNP molecular machines like the ribosome.

While these approaches provide a clear window into the nature of RNPs, they are insufficient to explain the scope of interactions in the cellular context. By necessity, in vitro experiments simplify the composition and physicochemical conditions of reactions relative to the complex intracellular milieu. As a result, in many cases they only partially recapitulate in vivo behaviors (Perez & Gonzalez, 2011; Wu et al., 2015). An alternative is to incorporate the extracted contents of cells that may play a necessary biological role within the system being studied in an otherwise in vitro experiment.

Over time, cell extracts have been used to probe a diverse set of biological questions. One strategy is to use them when the biological process under study is too complex, in terms of biochemical components or knowing their exact concentration for in vitro work. A classic example is the study of effects of sperm nuclei stimulating multiple facets of the mitotic cycle when exposed to extracts from ova in *Xenopus laevis* (Lohka & Masui, 1983). More recently, extracts from *Drosophila* embryos have been used to study the regulation of protein translation through development by repressive granules (Avilés-Pagán et al., 2021). Another example highlighting the specificity that cell extracts allow is the study of patient-specific misregulation resulting in neurodegeneration by studying amyloid formation in extracts from patient-derived tissue (Sanders et al., 2014). In this instance, patient cell extract was used to collect and form

DURAN ET AL.

4 of 18 WILEY WIRES

experimentally tractable aggregates for insights into neurodegeneration. Recently, cell extracts have additionally been used for biophysical characterization of protein stability. By mimicking the complexity of the cellular environment, the authors were able to approximate the effect of cellular components showing that the stability of cold shock protein B monotonically increased with extract concentration (Welte & Kovermann, 2020).

The utility of using cell extracts to help investigate RNP biology may become apparent considering these and other examples, yet advances are still not trivial. For instance, mRNAs can interact dynamically with >1500 RNA-binding proteins throughout their lifetimes with varying affinities. These interaction partners decorate mRNAs in complex temporal orders (Serebrov & Moore, 2016; Singh et al., 2015). Consequently, studying specific RNP processes in the back-drop of this complexity remains an ambitious endeavor.

Over the past 10 years, live-cell single molecule fluorescence methods have made great strides toward the goal of understanding close-to-native molecular behavior with developments and increasingly widespread adoption of live-cell single particle tracking, molecular tethering (Biswas et al., 2019; Sonneveld et al., 2020) and multi-color colocalization (Boersma et al., 2019; Cialek et al., 2020; Schmidt et al., 2020). Innovations in intracellular site-specific labeling of both RNAs and proteins (Schmidt et al., 2020), genetic manipulation methods, and constant improvements in imaging technologies suggest that live-cell single-molecule methods are on their way to become increasingly widely adopted, heralding a new paradigm of intracellular biochemistry (Walter, 2019).

Despite recent advances, intracellular live-cell studies are still challenging, particularly for the study of large multicomponent systems. Even with the maturation of CRISPR-based tools for gene editing, creating cell lines with mutations and deletions on a large scale, especially in essential proteins, still remains out of reach. Further, many important RNP complexes such as the ribosome are expressed at high copy numbers, making them challenging to study at single molecule resolution due to optical crowding during microscopic imaging. Moreover, molecular conformational dynamics remain difficult to study in live cells using smFRET due to rapid photobleaching. Given these challenges, combining single molecule fluorescence methods to image and track RNP biology within cell extracts provides a more tractable approach to dissecting RNP mechanisms in a cell-like environment that offers a greater degree of control.

2.2 | Cell extracts: From crude to partially reconstituted

Whole cell extracts range from crude to partially reconstituted, the latter of which involve modifying crude lysates by the addition of purified components to reconstitute the RNP system of interest (Figure 1). The absence of biochemical



FIGURE 1 Cell extract versus purified RNP preparations for single molecule characterization. Prior to single molecule microscopy imaging, cell extract is prepared by culturing a cell line of choice to a high density and subsequently subjecting the cells to multiple rounds of centrifugation. (1) The isolated soluble fraction makes up crude cell extract. (2) In order to follow RNP interactions with other biomolecules, crude extracts can be supplemented with purified biomolecules thereby creating partially reconstituted cell extracts. (3) If desired and/or possible, crude extract can be further purified using traditional biomolecule purification strategies (e.g., affinity tags, ion exchange, gel filtration, etc.) to fully isolate and image the RNP of choice in the absence of complex cellular extract components.

manipulations to overexpress or isolate components within cell extracts allows for them to potentially retain endogenous RNP concentrations, or at least relative levels, as well as the biomolecular complexity and macromolecular crowding that approximates the cellular environment (Ellis, 2001). Crude extract preparations containing genomic alterations such as deletions or additions of specific components are typically achieved by genetically manipulating parental cells (Folco & Reed, 2014; Ilagan & Jurica, 2014). For example, in order to characterize the role of small nuclear RNAs in the spliceosome, a number of yeast strains have been created containing genetically engineered cDNA complementary regions that lead to RNase H mediated depletion of the targeted endogenous RNA (Fabrizio et al., 1989; McPheeters et al., 1989; O'Keefe et al., 1996; Pan & Prives, 1988; Stark & Rader, 2014). Crude extracts can also be fractionated, thereafter referred to as fractionated extracts, to enrich for components from subcellular compartments, such as nuclear versus cytosolic extracts (Holden & Horton, 2009). For example, the recently developed direct analysis of ribosome targeting technology (DART) leverages the use of fractionated whole cell extracts in combination with next generation sequencing to determine the role of 5' UTR elements on translation (Niederer et al., 2022).

While crude and fractionated cell extracts have proven useful in providing mechanistic understanding of various RNA processes, the protocols to prepare them can be complex and arduous, often leading to functional variation among batches. Moreover, there is limited ability to control biomolecular concentration of specific components or ensure their functionality. Partially reconstituted cell extracts serve as an alternative to crude cell extracts that gets around some of these limitations (Kelwick et al., 2020; Laohakunakorn et al., 2020; Perez et al., 2016). These systems are created by carefully mixing a small number of purified components with crude or fractionated cell extracts. Controlled biomolecular additions are enabled by transcription or translation (Laohakunakorn et al., 2020). Small molecule and buffer exchanges are also possible through dialysis and depletion of specific components, achieved by immunodepletion or degron tagging (Aggarwal & Ha, 2014; H.-W. Lee et al., 2013; Y. K. Lee et al., 2017; Shetty et al., 2019; Srinivasan et al., 2017).

Extracts containing RNP complexes of interest depleted of a specific component offer a useful strategy to characterize the function of that missing component. Such an approach using Xenopus cell extract partially reconstituted with CMG (Cdc45–MCM2–7–GINS) helicase complex has been employed to uncover novel DNA replication and repair mechanisms. For example, DNA replication of templates containing DNA-protein cross-links (DPC) in the presence of CMG-reconstituted extracts immunodepleted of the accessory helicase RTEL1 or the DNA-dependent protease SPRTN revealed the role of these proteins in a DPC repair mechanism in which the replication fork triggers DPC proteolysis (Larsen et al., 2019; Sparks et al., 2019). In another example, auxin-inducible degron tags were used for targeted depletion of the kinetochore motor protein, Kip3, from cell extracts to characterize its role in kinetochore attachment to microtubules through both single molecule microscopy and ensemble experiments (Torvi et al., 2022).

Like all experimental model systems, both crude and reconstituted cell extracts are associated with a number of limitations, such as limited control of component concentration, that may complicate the study of certain biological problems (Table 1). Another important consideration is the possibility of batch-to-batch composition variability incurred during cell extract preparation. Despite precisely optimized protocols, subtle changes in the cell culture growth conditions, as well as in the lysis and post-lysis clearing steps, could lead to the differential loss or inactivation of components between preparations. As a result, it is critical to implement quality control measures for each preparation such as activity assays, western blots and mass spectrometry analysis to ensure that the components of interest are present and active in the extract prior to using it in the desired experiments. Similarly, it is advisable to implement such quality control measures over longer periods of storage and, possibly, to re-optimize the experimental conditions (i.e., temperature, time, buffer composition, etc.). Despite these limitations, cell extracts offer an important set of capabilities that can be powerful when combined with single molecule techniques, as exemplified by the discoveries that this approach has enabled as detailed below. To facilitate future experimental designs, Table 1 summarizes the scope and limitations of single-molecule experiments in cell extracts, relative to both in vitro reconstituted and live-cell systems.

3 | COMBINING SINGLE MOLECULE FLUORESCENCE MICROSCOPY AND PARTIALLY RECONSTITUTED CELL EXTRACTS

3.1 | Fluorescence microscopy tools for single molecule imaging in cellular extracts

Single molecule experiments with cellular extracts require the same tools as classical in vitro single molecule experiments. While there are potential differences in sample viscosity, turbidity, autofluorescence, and non-specific interactions associated with cellular extracts, the basic measurement tenets are the same. The techniques discussed in this

Experimental consideration	In vitro reconstitution	In live cells (in cellulo)	In cell extract
Temperature of measurement	Can be independently varied	Confined to physiological temperatures	Similar to in vitro, can be independently varied within optimized range
Concentration of component	Can be independently varied	Can be altered by manipulating gene expression characteristics	Can be extended beyond in cellulo by doping in purified components
Purity of component	Highly pure, but involves time-consuming purification steps	Complex mixture	Similar to in cellulo, but affords local "pull-down" to enrich for specific components (Aggarwal & Ha, 2014; HW. Lee et al., 2013; Y. K. Lee et al., 2017; Srinivasan et al., 2017)
Macromolecular Crowding	Can be simulated	Has intrinsic crowding	Can be simulated
Equilibrium measurements	Long-term equilibration is possible	Non-equilibrium	Similar to in vitro
Experimentally tractable (long term imaging)	Oxygen scavenging systems can enhance imaging times	Short term imaging only	Similar to in vitro
Small molecule testing and/or exchange	Yes. Highly controllable	Possible, but limited within the constraints of maintaining cell viability	Similar to in vitro, but retaining much of the complexity of the cell

TABLE 1 A comparison of the scope and limitations of experiments in cell extract with in vitro reconstituted and in-cell single-molecule systems.

review all use total internal reflection (TIRF) microscopy. While TIRF systems vary in terms of laser lines, microscopes, and cameras, these technical variations are outside of the scope of this review and have been reviewed extensively elsewhere (Niederauer et al., 2018; Oheim, 2016; Oheim et al., 2019). More specifically, here we focus on techniques with surface-immobilized biomolecules as these have been well established for use in the characterization of RNP complex assemblies within cellular extracts. Confocal techniques for solution measurements are, thus far, underutilized for experiments in cellular extracts (Currie et al., 2015; Mateu-Regué et al., 2021).

Surface immobilization techniques can facilitate observation of individual biological complexes for extended periods of time (Roy et al., 2008). This begins with passivating an optical surface (e.g., microscope slide) while adding specific attachment points for molecules of interest. Next, the cellular extracts and fluorescently labeled biomolecules are introduced to the surface. The surface is then illuminated with laser light and the behavior of individual labeled molecules can be observed. The observable behaviors include, but are not limited to conformational changes via FRET, co-localization, and molecular interactions with antibody surface captured interaction partners (Figure 2).

Over the last two decades, a number of single molecule modalities have been established to investigate multidomain complexes under near-native conditions in various contexts. One approach is to immobilize exogenous macromolecules (typically protein or oligonucleotides) to surfaces that nucleate biological complex formation. This is a powerful tool that can be used to enrich a surface with numerous complexes. When used in conjunction with labeled endogenous components (e.g., SNAP tag fluorescent labeled protein), such as in the colocalization single-molecule spectroscopy (CoSMoS) technique (Crawford et al., 2008), one can measure how different species colocalize within biomolecular complexes.

While the classic biotin/avidin surface attachment chemistry is still popular for simpler systems, immunoprecipitation has been recently used to great effect for pulling down biological machinery directly from bulk lysate. SiMPull and SIMPlex (Aggarwal & Ha, 2014; Fareh et al., 2016; X. Wang et al., 2018) methodologies utilize biotinylated antibodies to pulldown a biomolecule of choice from the lysate. These immunoprecipitation-based approaches make it possible to study endogenous proteins of interest without the need to add exogenous proteins.

As exemplified by the biological contributions highlighted in Sections 3.2–3.4, the common principles underlying all these methods are:



FIGURE 2 Single molecule fluorescence microscopy experiments. (a) Single molecule microscopy experiments, allow for the trajectories and interactions of single biotinylated biomolecules immobilized on a slide surface to be recorded in the background of complex cellular lysates over time through the excitation of strategically placed fluorophores. (b) In single molecule FRET experiments, anticorrelated fluorescence signals between two fluorophores report on the interactions occurring between two biomolecules within certain relative distances. In fluorescent colocalization experiments such as (c) CoSMoS and (d) SiM-KARTS (Rinaldi et al., 2016; Welty et al., 2023), repeated rounds of interactions between two or more fluorescently labeled biomolecules is tracked over time to determine binding kinetics and extract information about the accessibility of certain nucleic acid regions with RNPs. Single molecule pull-down experiments such as SiMPull and SIMPlex report on the interaction of between biomolecules and either (e) a protein or (f) protein complex surface captured through a biotinylated antibody.

3.1.1 Enrichment of target molecules

In the case of reconstitution experiments, proteins or complexes of interest are purified based on affinity principles after heterologous expression in bacteria and/or eukaryotic systems of choice. RNAs may be synthesized by chemical synthesis or in vitro transcription. Alternatively, RNAs or proteins may be overexpressed in transcription/translationcompetent extracts.

Surface proximal immobilization 3.1.2

These strategies use various high affinity non-covalent molecular interactions, such as biotin-streptavidin binding or antibody-antigen interactions to tether a molecule or complex of interest to a surface; typically, PEG (polyethylene

7 of 18

glycol) passivated glass or quartz. This has two-fold utility: (1) it allows performing single-molecule total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy to selectively excite target molecules proximal to the surface, and (2) allows individual, tethered molecules or complexes to be followed over time (seconds-to-tenth of minutes), enabling the detection of multiple interaction events and/or extraction of kinetic information.

3.2 | Insights from the spliceosome

One RNP complex that has been extensively studied using single molecule fluorescence experiments in whole cell extract is the spliceosome (Crawford et al., 2008; Hoskins, Gelles, & Moore, 2011; Ray et al., 2018). Unlike molecular machines that adopt an enzymatically active complex which remains compositionally intact through multiple rounds of catalysis on a given substrate, spliceosomes assemble stepwise onto targeted pre-mRNA splice sites forming precatalytic complexes. These are then remodeled into catalytically active spliceosomes that further transition through several compositionally distinct states on the catalytic path in a process involving dozens of transiently acting factors. The large number of components (both RNA and proteins) that assemble and transition through each stage of the substrate splicing cycle precludes reconstitution of this RNP machine from purified materials. The size, dynamics, and poorly understood regulatory pathways of the spliceosome machinery also poses enormous technical challenges for using in vivo experiments to extract molecular level understanding of spliceosomal transitions. Thus, in vitro single molecule fluorescence microscopy experiments using partially reconstituted cell extracts have been implemented to provide insights about spliceosome assembly and RNA conformational dynamics at various points during the pre-mRNA splic-ing reaction.

A number of single molecule colocalization experiments have been implemented to investigate multiple aspects of spliceosome assembly. Hoskins et al. used yeast cell extract with genetically engineered SNAP-tags on various splicing components to follow the kinetics of spliceosome assembly on a model pre-mRNA substrate with multi-wavelength CoSMoS (Hoskins, Friedman, et al., 2011). Real-time kinetic analysis of the single molecule trajectories revealed the dynamic and reversible nature of spliceosome subcomplex associations during step-wise assembly. In a later study, yeast cell extracts were used in single molecule CoSMoS and CoSMoS-FRET experiments to follow how pre-mRNA dynamics were coupled to spliceosome assembly and activation (Crawford et al., 2013). The data suggest a model in which the spliceosome machinery coordinates the physical proximity of the splice sites to ensure that splicing chemistry takes place only once the catalytic complex is fully assembled.

Single molecule co-localization studies using cell extracts from mammalian cell lines have also advanced our understanding of spliceosome complex composition and mechanisms of human spliceosomes. For example, Cherny et al. quantified the photobleaching events exhibited by immobilized spliceosomal complexes pulled down from HEK293 cell extracts to determine the number of polypyrimidine tract-binding proteins within single spliceosome complexes (Cherny et al., 2010). Given that human pre-mRNAs are often alternatively spliced, others have used similar approaches to characterize alternative splice site selection mechanisms. For example, Hodson et al. followed the colocalization of U1 snRNPs with pre-mRNA substrate containing alternative splice sites to show that, although multiple U1 snRNPs initially bind the pre-mRNA, only a single U1 is bound after the ATP-dependent transition to complex A during spliceosomal assembly (Hodson et al., 2012). More recently, single molecule CoSMoS experiments with HEK293 cell extracts revealed insights about cross-intron and cross-exon interactions in human pre-spliceosome assembly (Braun et al., 2018). In these experiments, Braun et al. fluorescently labeled spliceosome subcomplexes in order to follow their assembly with model pre-mRNA substrates (Figure 3a). They observed that pre-mRNA binding of the subcomplex that recognizes the 5' splice site (U1) was not affected by the presence of the subcomplex that recognizes and binds 3' splice sites (U2). In contrast, they found that U2 binding is strongly accelerated when U1 is bound at the 5' splice site either upstream (cross-intron) or downstream (cross-exon) revealing an alternative kinetic pathway for U2 recruitment during pre-spliceosome assembly that may be key to exon definition in the multi-intron containing pre-mRNAs that predominate within human genomes (Figure 3b).

In addition to providing insights about spliceosome complex assembly, smFRET experiments have revealed insights about the conformational dynamics of substrate pre-mRNAs captured bound within splicing complexes (Abelson et al., 2010; Krishnan et al., 2013). Alongside these measurements, computational methodologies such as single molecule clustering analysis (SiMCAn) have enabled computational sorting and identification of kinetic signatures associated with various steps of the splicing cycle (Blanco et al., 2015). Characterization of the kinetics of such RNA rearrangements and how those are correlated to spliceosomal transitions and interacting protein partners that mediate



FIGURE 3 CoSMoS experiments reveal cross-intron and cross-exon cooperation in human pre-spliceosome assembly (Braun et al., 2018). (a) Braun et al. assembled pre-spliceosome complexes using mammalian cell extracts containing fluorescently labeled spliceosome subcomplexes (orange and green ovals). Using CoSMoS experiments, they follow these complexes' interactions with RNA substrates containing either (*top*) an intron flanked by two partial exons or the reverse (*bottom*), an exon flanked by two partial introns. (b) The results reveal that the binding dynamics of subcomplex U2 are significantly altered based on the presence of U1 at adjacent 5' splice sites in either direction (upstream or downstream). This U1-U2 pre-spliceosome cooperation mechanism may be one way that spliceosomes distinguish internal exons within multi-exon containing pre-mRNAs and may serve as a kinetic checkpoint along the pathway toward activated spliceosome assembly.

those transitions continue to expand our understanding of the kinetic proofreading mechanisms that underlie the tightly controlled regulation of spliceosomal transitions and splice site selection (Beier et al., 2019; Semlow et al., 2016).

3.3 | Revealing dynamic aspects of eukaryotic transcriptional activation

Similar to splicing, the transcription of RNA is a highly dynamic process requiring the temporally controlled coordination of many RNPs to tune transcriptional fate. In eukaryotes, the transcription of protein-coding genes is carried out by RNA polymerase II (RNAP II), while transcription of ribosomal and transfer RNAs are carried out by RNAPs I and III, respectively. A large number of transcription factors interact with each RNAP to control transcription initiation, elongation, and termination.

While the identities and biochemical properties of the essential eukaryotic transcriptional factors are known, the dynamics of their interactions remain largely unknown. For example, it is known that the process of turning on eukaryotic gene expression by RNAP II is preempted by a highly coordinated assembly of a minimum of six general transcription factors (GTFs: TFIIA, TFIIB, TFIID, TFIIE, TFIIF, and TFIIH) with Mediator and RNAP II into a pre-initiation complex (PIC) at the promoter just upstream of the coding region (Hahn, 2004; Schier & Taatjes, 2020). It has also been shown that transcriptional activators and co-activators increase the transcription of particular genes through interactions with DNA elements such as enhancer or upstream activator sequences (UAS) found upstream of the transcription start site (Morse, 2022; Petrenko & Struhl, 2021). Yet many questions remain regarding how these transcriptional activators are recruited to transcription complexes, the effect of activators and co-activators on PIC assembly, and how they facilitate transitions between PIC assembly and productive elongation to stimulate transcription.

Single molecule studies of eukaryotic transcription initiation have enabled important mechanistic insights on PIC assembly. Using fully reconstituted PIC from purified GTFs and RNAP II, single molecule experiments have revealed previously averaged out aspects of the assembly dynamics. For instance, Zhang et al. used immobilized transcription templates and fluorescently labeled GFTs, show that TFIIB interreacts transiently with the promoter and undergoes a



FIGURE 4 Emerging PIC assembly model. (a) In a recent study, Baek et al. performed three-color CoSMoS experiments using mammalian cell extracts to following the association of RNAP II and general transcription factors TFIIF, TFIIE, or TFIIH with a DNA promoter template (Baek et al., 2021). (b) The data support an emerging model whereby RNAP II associated with TFIIF and/or TFIIE binds primarily at the enhancer (UAS) through UAS bound transcription activators. From there, partially assembled PIC can be transferred to the core promoter for binding with TFIIH and additional GTFs for complete PIC assembly, in a branched assembly pathway.

transient-to-stable transition only in the presence of RNAP II (Zhang et al., 2016). Others have used optical or magnetic tweezers with reconstituted transcription reactions to show the role of TFIIH in ATP-dependent expansion of the transcription bubble prior to RNAP II-driven promoter escape (Fazal et al., 2015; Tomko et al., 2017). More recently, PIC assembly dynamics has been followed in cell extracts using CoSMoS in order to determine the impact of the rich repertoire of transcription factors present in cell lysates on transcription initiation (Rosen et al., 2020). Using fluorescently labeled DNA template containing UAS + promoter, and cell lysates with fluorescently labeled RNAP II, TFIIF, TFIIE, or TFIIH, Baek et al. observed that RNAP II associated with UAS with the same kinetic "on" rate whether the promoter was present or not (Baek et al., 2021). Similarly, they observed that the general transcription factors TFIIE and TFIIF also associated with the UAS independently of the promoter, but the TFIIH did not. Together their findings support a model in which some GTFs and RNAP II can be recruited to UAS, potentially through activator interactions, in order to enhance PIC assembly and thereby increase transcription of the downstream gene (Figure 4). In a complementary study, Nguyen and colleagues employed live-cell single particle tracking experiments to follow the nuclear dynamics of 10 PIC components in budding yeast (Nguyen et al., 2021). Their findings support a highly dynamic model in which the Mediator and TFIID complexes stimulate the "trapping" of other GTFs in radially limited zones in order to promote PIC assembly. Together these findings show how single molecule studies using cell extracts can complement and bridge gaps in the mechanistic understanding of dynamic RNP processes recapitulated from fully reconstituted systems versus within the rich cellular milieu of in vivo conditions.

3.4 | Functional, reconstituted cell-free systems to interrogate gene silencing mechanisms

Cell extracts have also been the cornerstone of miRNA research, enabling mechanistic interrogation of miRNA biogenesis (Betancur & Tomari, 2012; Förstemann et al., 2007; Miyoshi et al., 2010; O'Brien et al., 2018), miRISC assembly (Azuma-Mukai et al., 2008; Iwasaki et al., 2010; Kawamata et al., 2009; Kawamata & Tomari, 2011; Yoda et al., 2010) and miRISC-mediated gene regulation (Fukao et al., 2014; Mathonnet et al., 2007; Pillai et al., 2005; Wakiyama et al., 2006; Wakiyama et al., 2007; Wakiyama et al., 2018; B. Wang et al., 2006; B. Wang et al., 2007) at the ensemble level. miRNAs are evolutionarily conserved small (21–24 nucleotides) non-coding RNAs which guide miRNA-induced silencing complexes (miRISC) to fine tune gene expression through translational repression and/or mRNA degradation (Jonas & Izaurralde, 2015). These complexes are composed of Argonaute (Ago), miRNA, and mRNA-resident miRNA response elements (MREs). miRNAs typically bind MREs via incomplete complementarity, requiring only a short (7–8 nucleotide) "seed" (perfect) match. Consequently, one miRNA can regulate many mRNAs (Selbach et al., 2008) and, conversely, a single mRNA can be regulated by many miRNAs. Although the basic mechanism of miRNA-mediated gene silencing is widely accepted, it is still unclear how the \sim 200,000 miRNA molecules of the cell find, bind, and regulate their targets, which are interspersed among a pool of \sim 7,000,000 mRNA molecules (Milo et al., 2010). Moreover, mRNAs typically contain multiple MREs, be it for miRNAs of similar or distinct sequences (Agarwal et al., 2015), and whether all these MREs are simultaneously occupied, that is, stoichiometric aspects, for optimal regulation is unclear.

In vitro single-molecule microscopy of purified Ago proteins has revealed lateral (1-dimensional) diffusion and augmented hybridization upon seed recognition as major mechanisms of MRE recognition by minimal si/miRISC on sparsely populated, tethered individual mRNAs (Salomon et al., 2015). Recently, smFRET measurements utilizing site specifically-labeled human Ago2 (hAgo2) immunopurified from mammalian lysates have revealed mechanistic details of guide and target RNA loading by hAgo2 (Willkomm et al., 2022). Rather than finding a distinct conformational state for the ternary RNP consisting of hAgo2, guide, and target RNA, the authors observed various distinct and conformationally dynamic states required for regulatory activity. Experimental research on small DNA-guided prokaryotic Ago analogs (Cui et al., 2019) and the theoretical treatment of protein-nucleic acids interactions (Cui & Joo, 2019; Halford & Marko, 2004) have suggested that short intersegmental transfers, that is, 3-dimensional hopping, in addition to 1-dimensional scanning, may make target search more efficient.

While these efforts are significant, they do not recapitulate (1) the complexity of miRISC, a large (>1 MDa) RNP with numerous proteins that act in concert to mediate gene regulation and (2) the crowded confines of the cell. In fact, supplementing purified Ago with TNRC6B, a miRISC scaffold protein for tertiary regulators, leads to phase separation of Ago-TNRC6B-mRNA complexes in vitro and this phenomenon is correlated with accelerated mRNA deadenylation (Sheu-Gruttadauria & MacRae, 2018).

Most RISC-active cell extracts have been derived from Drosophila or *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryos and human cell culture, requiring the meticulous optimization of cell lysis (Fukao et al., 2014; Mathonnet et al., 2007; Wakiyama et al., 2006; Wakiyama et al., 2007; Wakiyama et al., 2018). While commercial cell-free extracts recapitulate gene silencing, they are incompetent in miRNA biogenesis and miRISC assembly (B. Wang et al., 2006; B. Wang et al., 2007; B. Wang et al., 2008), often involving non-physiological molecular manipulation, such as pre-annealing of small RNAs with mRNAs prior to extract addition. Cell-free transcription/translation extracts have the potential to overcome these limitations by expressing key miRISC factors such as AGO, TNRC6A and DICER at near physiological levels to recapitulate all facets of the miRNA pathway. The future use of such functional, reconstituted cell extracts for in vitro single-molecule imaging of miRISC, in combination with intracellular single-miRNA imaging (Pitchiaya et al., 2012; Pitchiaya et al., 2017; Pitchiaya et al., 2019) may resolve the long-standing questions pertaining to target search and miRNA-binding stoichiometry, critical aspects to consider for the pharmacokinetics of potential anti-miRNA drugs.

4 | CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Many of the biological insights into pre-mRNA splicing, transcription and gene regulation discussed here were uncovered by combining the use of cell extracts and single-molecule fluorescence microscopy. These examples demonstrate the feasibility and underexplored potential of this approach, yet so far target only few of the many complex RNP genomic maintenance systems spanning the central dogma of molecular biology. We hope that the works highlighted here serve to inspire and encourage many more researchers to consider exploring cell extracts as a way to bridge recombinant in vitro and in cellulo experimental inquiries. To further facilitate the use of this approach, here we additionally describe some universal practical considerations for applying specifically single molecule fluorescence microscopy to cell extracts.

4.1 | Component concentration considerations

Investigation of any biological sample of interest employing single-molecule fluorescence microscopy requires the mitigation of several practical challenges. One of these challenges is exceeding the necessary affinity threshold between interacting partners. In vitro single molecule spectroscopy is performed at highly diluted concentration of the fluorescently labeled species to facilitate the observation to single molecule events (Holzmeister et al., 2014). This means that to study the biological interactions of two or more partners, a low dissociation constant (K_D) of those interactions is required.

Reconstituted cell-free systems support the introduction and/or removal of biomolecules, as previously described (Section 2.2). Careful addition or removal of components with minimal change to the near-cellular composition of the extract is a useful strategy for characterizing the role of specific biomolecules in a given RNP mechanism. Conversely, an alternative to adding recombinantly expressed components that is emerging as a powerful additional tool to study RNP systems is to express proteins of interest in translation-competent extracts (Lo Gullo et al., 2019). However, this is associated with some degree of variability in protein expression across those pathways that are highly sensitive to experimental conditions (Hunter et al., 2018).

4.2 | Fluorescent labeling of proteins

12 of 18 WILEY WIRES

Since all single-molecule fluorescence microscopy techniques depend on a fluorescence readout, another challenge that must be met is the incorporation of one or more chromophore-labeled biomolecule. In keeping with the scope of this article, here we limit this discussion to strategies particularly suitable for cell extracts. A more exhaustive description of labeling strategies for single molecule experiments was recently published elsewhere (Ha et al., 2022).

In general, fusion of a fluorescent protein via standard molecular cloning methods represents a straightforward method to site-specifically introduce a fluorophore into the protein of interest (POI). For some fluorescent proteins such as GFP or GFP-derivatives, size of the fluorescent protein and poor photophysical properties often render it unsuitable for single molecule observation (Gust et al., 2014; Thorn, 2017). As a result, care must be taken to ensure that the fluorescent reporter chosen for single molecule measurements meets the suitable criteria of size, brightness, and lifetime required for the chosen experimental design.

A flexible strategy for introducing chromophores suitable for single molecule applications is to fuse genetically encoded self-labeling protein tags (Halo-, Snap-, and CLIP-tags) to a POI. Cell extracts derived from cell lines containing these tagged genes can then be incubated with an organic dye of desired emission wavelength for site-specific attachment to the POI (Blackstock & Chen, 2014; Cole, 2013; Liss et al., 2016). Furthermore, the covalent organic dye is buried in the active site of the self-labeling protein tag and is thereby protected from the environment with respect to photophysical perturbation, resulting in a non-environmentally affected, and potentially enhanced, fluorescence readout (Banaz et al., 2019; Erdmann et al., 2019). A potential concern of such chimeric systems is the significant size of the protein tag $(\sim 20-30 \text{ kDa})$ that may potentially perturb aspects of the system of interest, especially in multi-component RNPs (Banaz et al., 2019). Additionally, precise distance measurement and/or structural dynamics may be complicated due to the size of the tag and potential dye orientation effects. These limitations make this system mainly suitable for colocalization and super resolution microscopy studies, as well as stoichiometry measurements (Banaz et al., 2019).

For applications in which a recombinantly expressed and fluorescently labeled protein is added to the cell extract, an alternative organic fluorophore-to-protein attachment strategy is to hijack the reactivity of amino acid side chains. Initial studies relied on the reactivity of primary amino moieties, for example, lysine side chain within proteins and N-hydroxysuccinimidyl (NHS) ester functionalized fluorescent probes (Nanda & Lorsch, 2014). The high abundance of lysine residues often found on protein surfaces makes site-specifically labeling very challenging (Carugo, 2008). To improve the site-specificity, the less abundant cysteine amino acid may be utilized using thiol-maleimide chemistry (Y. Kim et al., 2008). This labeling strategy comes at the expense of needing to mutate other cysteines to achieve specificity within POIs where they are abundantly present. In cases where this need is untenable, an alternative is to site-specifically incorporate an unnatural amino acid into the POI that can subsequently be bioorthogonally labeled (Chin, 2017; Lang & Chin, 2014; Ryu & Schultz, 2006). Initially, this strategy was only applicable for bacterial proteins or eukaryotic proteins recombinantly expressed in bacteria. More recently, it has been expanded to POIs in mammalian cell lines (Gust et al., 2018). While this strategy circumvents the limitation of site-specifically labeling proteins with high lysine and cysteine abundance, it comes with additional challenges of its own, briefly summarized below.

The limitations of site-specifically labeling of proteins using incorporation of non-natural amino acids can be summarized as four challenges: (i) expression of functionalized protein containing a non-natural amino acid; (ii) potential functional and structural integrity change of the POI upon functionalization; (iii) change in the functional integrity of the POI due to attachment of the fluorescence dye; and (iv) difference in fluorescent dye properties caused by the changing physicochemical microenvironment of the dye upon labeling. Despite these hurdles, this technique allows for very precise control over the labeling site and for incorporation of multiple dyes, a unique feature that can be used to address structural dynamics (T. C. Lee et al., 2016; K. J. Lee et al., 2019; K. Wang et al., 2012). To overcome photophysical perturbation of the dye, a long and flexible linker (\sim 20 Å) was developed that increases a dye's mobility and reduces hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions between the POI and the dye (Sánchez-Rico et al., 2017). Together with progress in modeling of fluorophores at specific attachment sites and as well as recent emergence of biochemical workflows to identify suitable labeling sites, these challenges are becoming more easily surmountable (Kalinin et al., 2012; Nagy et al., 2015; Schmidt et al., 2018). One might therefore predict that cell extracts will further expand as a tool that allows the dissection of RNP biology at the single molecule level.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Elizabeth Duran: Conceptualization (equal); funding acquisition (supporting); supervision (lead); writing – original draft (lead). **Andreas Schmidt:** Conceptualization (equal); funding acquisition (supporting); writing – original draft (equal). **Robb Welty:** Conceptualization (equal); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Ameya Jalihal:** Conceptualization (equal); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Sethuramasundaram Pitchiaya:** Conceptualization (equal); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Sethuramasundaram Pitchiaya:** Conceptualization (lead); funding acquisition (lead); project administration (equal); writing – review and editing (equal).

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work was supported by NIH R35 grant GM131922 to Nils G. Walter, an NIH IRACDA fellowship on grant K12 GM111725 and NIH K99 MOSAIC grant GM144735 to Elizabeth Duran, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) Project-ID 449562930 to Andreas Schmidt.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest for this article.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

ORCID

Elizabeth Duran [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3988-5091 Robb Welty [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8362-5297 Ameya P. Jalihal [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4569-8636 Sethuramasundaram Pitchiaya [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2529-5186 Nils G. Walter [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7301-1275

RELATED WIRES ARTICLES

Advances in single-molecule fluorescent nanosensors Following the messenger: Recent innovations in live cell single molecule fluorescence imaging Recent advancement of light-based single-molecule approaches for studying biomolecules

REFERENCES

Abelson, J., Blanco, M., Ditzler, M. A., Fuller, F., Aravamudhan, P., Wood, M., Villa, T., Ryan, D. E., Pleiss, J. A., Maeder, C., Guthrie, C., & Walter, N. G. (2010). Conformational dynamics of single pre-mRNA molecules during in vitro splicing. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, 17, 504–512.

Adli, M. (2018). The CRISPR tool kit for genome editing and beyond. Nature Communications, 9, 1911.

- Agarwal, V., Bell, G. W., Nam, J.-W., & Bartel, D. P. (2015). Predicting effective microRNA target sites in mammalian mRNAs. *eLife*, 4, e05005.
- Aggarwal, V., & Ha, T. (2014). Single-molecule pull-down (SiMPull) for new-age biochemistry: Methodology and biochemical applications of single-molecule pull-down (SiMPull) for probing biomolecular interactions in crude cell extracts. *BioEssays*, 36, 1109–1119.
- An, H., de Meritens, C. R., & Shelkovnikova, T. A. (2021). Connecting the "dots": RNP granule network in health and disease. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta: Molecular Cell Research, 1868, 119058.
- Avilés-Pagán, E. E., Hara, M., & Orr-Weaver, T. L. (2021). The GNU subunit of PNG kinase, the developmental regulator of mRNA translation, binds BIC-C to localize to RNP granules. *eLife*, 10, e67294.
- Azuma-Mukai, A., Oguri, H., Mituyama, T., Qian, Z. R., Asai, K., Siomi, H., & Siomi, M. C. (2008). Characterization of endogenous human Argonautes and their miRNA partners in RNA silencing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105, 7964–7969.

- Baek, I., Friedman, L. J., Gelles, J., & Buratowski, S. (2021). Single-molecule studies reveal branched pathways for activator-dependent assembly of RNA polymerase II pre-initiation complexes. *Molecular Cell*, 81, 3576–3588.e6.
- Banaz, N., Mäkelä, J., & Uphoff, S. (2019). Choosing the right label for single-molecule tracking in live bacteria: Side-by-side comparison of photoactivatable fluorescent protein and Halo tag dyes. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 52, 064002.
- Beier, D. H., Carrocci, T. J., van der Feltz, C., Tretbar, U. S., Paulson, J. C., Grabowski, N., & Hoskins, A. A. (2019). Dynamics of the DEADbox ATPase Prp5 RecA-like domains provide a conformational switch during spliceosome assembly. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 47, 10842– 10851.
- Betancur, J. G., & Tomari, Y. (2012). Dicer is dispensable for asymmetric RISC loading in mammals. RNA, 18, 24-30.
- Biswas, J., Liu, Y., Singer, R. H., & Wu, B. (2019). Fluorescence imaging methods to investigate translation in single cells. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 11. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a032722
- Blackstock, D., & Chen, W. (2014). Halo-tag mediated self-labeling of fluorescent proteins to molecular beacons for nucleic acid detection. *Chemical Communications*, 50, 13735–13738.
- Blanco, M. R., Martin, J. S., Kahlscheuer, M. L., Krishnan, R., Abelson, J., Laederach, A., & Walter, N. G. (2015). Single molecule cluster analysis dissects splicing pathway conformational dynamics. *Nature Methods*, 12, 1077–1084.
- Boersma, S., Khuperkar, D., Verhagen, B. M. P., Sonneveld, S., Grimm, J. B., Lavis, L. D., & Tanenbaum, M. E. (2019). Multi-color singlemolecule imaging uncovers extensive heterogeneity in mRNA decoding. *Cell*, 178, 458–472.e19.
- Braun, J. E., Friedman, L. J., Gelles, J., & Moore, M. J. (2018). Synergistic assembly of human pre-spliceosomes across introns and exons. eLife, 7. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37751
- Carugo, O. (2008). Amino acid composition and protein dimension. Protein Science, 17, 2187-2191.
- Cherny, D., Gooding, C., Eperon, G. E., Coelho, M. B., Bagshaw, C. R., Smith, C. W. J., & Eperon, I. C. (2010). Stoichiometry of a regulatory splicing complex revealed by single-molecule analyses. *The EMBO Journal*, 29, 2161–2172.
- Chin, J. W. (2017). Expanding and reprogramming the genetic code. Nature, 550, 53-60.
- Cialek, C. A., Koch, A. L., Galindo, G., & Stasevich, T. J. (2020). Lighting up single-mRNA translation dynamics in living cells. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development, 61, 75–82.
- Cole, N. B. (2013). Site-specific protein labeling with SNAP-tags. Current Protocols in Protein Science, 73, 30.1.1–30.1.16.
- Crawford, D. J., Hoskins, A. A., Friedman, L. J., Gelles, J., & Moore, M. J. (2008). Visualizing the splicing of single pre-mRNA molecules in whole cell extract. *RNA*, *14*, 170–179.
- Crawford, D. J., Hoskins, A. A., Friedman, L. J., Gelles, J., & Moore, M. J. (2013). Single-molecule colocalization FRET evidence that spliceosome activation precedes stable approach of 5' splice site and branch site. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110, 6783–6788.
- Cui, T. J., & Joo, C. (2019). Facilitated diffusion of Argonaute-mediated target search. RNA Biology, 16, 1093–1107. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 15476286.2019.1616353
- Cui, T. J., Klein, M., Hegge, J. W., Chandradoss, S. D., van der Oost, J., Depken, M., & Joo, C. (2019). Argonaute bypasses cellular obstacles without hindrance during target search. *Nature Communications*, 10, 4390.
- Currie, M., Thao, C., Timerman, R., Welty, R., Berry, B., Sheets, E. D., & Heikal, A. A. (2015). Multiscale diffusion of a molecular probe in a crowded environment: A concept. In Ultrafast Nonlinear Imaging and Spectroscopy III (Vol. 9584, pp. 60–75). SPIE.
- Dashti, A., Schwander, P., Langlois, R., Fung, R., Li, W., Hosseinizadeh, A., Liao, H. Y., Pallesen, J., Sharma, G., Stupina, V. A., Simon, A. E., Dinman, J. D., Frank, J., & Ourmazd, A. (2014). Trajectories of the ribosome as a Brownian nanomachine. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111, 17492–17497.
- Doudna, J. A., & Charpentier, E. (2014). The new frontier of genome engineering with CRISPR-Cas9. Science, 346, 1258096.
- Ellis, R. J. (2001). Macromolecular crowding: Obvious but underappreciated. Trends in Biochemical Sciences, 26, 597-604.
- Erdmann, R. S., Baguley, S. W., Richens, J. H., Wissner, R. F., Xi, Z., Allgeyer, E. S., Zhong, S., Thompson, A. D., Lowe, N., Butler, R., Bewersdorf, J., Rothman, J. E., St Johnston, D., Schepartz, A., & Toomre, D. (2019). Labeling strategies matter for super-resolution microscopy: A comparison between HaloTags and SNAP-tags. *Cell Chemical Biology*, *26*, 584–592.e6.
- Fabrizio, P., McPheeters, D. S., & Abelson, J. (1989). In vitro assembly of yeast U6 snRNP: A functional assay. Genes & Development, 3, 2137– 2150.
- Fareh, M., Yeom, K.-H., Haagsma, A. C., Chauhan, S., Heo, I., & Joo, C. (2016). TRBP ensures efficient Dicer processing of precursor micro-RNA in RNA-crowded environments. *Nature Communications*, 7, 13694.
- Fazal, F. M., Meng, C. A., Murakami, K., Kornberg, R. D., & Block, S. M. (2015). Real-time observation of the initiation of RNA polymerase II transcription. *Nature*, 525, 274–277.
- Folco, E. G., & Reed, R. (2014). In vitro systems for coupling RNAP II transcription to splicing and polyadenylation. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 1126, 169–177.
- Förstemann, K., Horwich, M. D., Wee, L., Tomari, Y., & Zamore, P. D. (2007). Drosophila microRNAs are sorted into functionally distinct Argonaute complexes after production by Dicer-1. Cell, 130, 287–297.
- Fukao, A., Mishima, Y., Takizawa, N., Oka, S., Imataka, H., Pelletier, J., Sonenberg, N., Thoma, C., & Fujiwara, T. (2014). MicroRNAs trigger dissociation of eIF4AI and eIF4AII from target mRNAs in humans. *Molecular Cell*, 56, 79–89.
- Gust, A., Jakob, L., Zeitler, D. M., Bruckmann, A., Kramm, K., Willkomm, S., Tinnefeld, P., Meister, G., & Grohmann, D. (2018). Site-specific labelling of native mammalian proteins for single-molecule FRET measurements. *Chembiochem*, 19, 780–783.

- Gust, A., Zander, A., Gietl, A., Holzmeister, P., Schulz, S., Lalkens, B., Tinnefeld, P., & Grohmann, D. (2014). A starting point for fluorescence-based single-molecule measurements in biomolecular research. *Molecules*, 19, 15824–15865.
- Ha, T., Kaiser, C., Myong, S., Wu, B., & Xiao, J. (2022). Next generation single-molecule techniques: Imaging, labeling, and manipulation in vitro and in cellulo. *Molecular Cell*, 82, 304–314.
- Hahn, S. (2004). Structure and mechanism of the RNA polymerase II transcription machinery. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, 11, 394–403.
- Halford, S. E., & Marko, J. F. (2004). How do site-specific DNA-binding proteins find their targets? *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32, 3040–3052.
- Hodson, M. J., Hudson, A. J., Cherny, D., & Eperon, I. C. (2012). The transition in spliceosome assembly from complex E to complex A purges surplus U1 snRNPs from alternative splice sites. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40, 6850–6862.
- Holden, P., & Horton, W. A. (2009). Crude subcellular fractionation of cultured mammalian cell lines. BMC Research Notes, 2, 243.
- Holzmeister, P., Acuna, G. P., Grohmann, D., & Tinnefeld, P. (2014). Breaking the concentration limit of optical single-molecule detection. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 43, 1014–1028.
- Hoskins, A. A., Friedman, L. J., Gallagher, S. S., Crawford, D. J., Anderson, E. G., Wombacher, R., Ramirez, N., Cornish, V. W., Gelles, J., & Moore, M. J. (2011). Ordered and dynamic assembly of single spliceosomes. *Science*, 331, 1289–1295.
- Hoskins, A. A., Gelles, J., & Moore, M. J. (2011). New insights into the spliceosome by single molecule fluorescence microscopy. Current Opinion in Chemical Biology, 15, 864–870.
- Hunter, D. J. B., Bhumkar, A., Giles, N., Sierecki, E., & Gambin, Y. (2018). Unexpected instabilities explain batch-to-batch variability in cellfree protein expression systems. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 115, 1904–1914.
- Ilagan, J. O., & Jurica, M. S. (2014). Isolation and accumulation of spliceosomal assembly intermediates. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 1126, 179–192.
- Iwasaki, S., Kobayashi, M., Yoda, M., Sakaguchi, Y., Katsuma, S., Suzuki, T., & Tomari, Y. (2010). Hsc70/Hsp90 chaperone machinery mediates ATP-dependent RISC loading of small RNA duplexes. *Molecular Cell*, 39, 292–299.
- Jonas, S., & Izaurralde, E. (2015). Towards a molecular understanding of microRNA-mediated gene silencing. *Nature Reviews. Genetics*, 16, 421–433.
- Kalinin, S., Peulen, T., Sindbert, S., Rothwell, P. J., Berger, S., Restle, T., Goody, R. S., Gohlke, H., & Seidel, C. A. M. (2012). A toolkit and benchmark study for FRET-restrained high-precision structural modeling. *Nature Methods*, 9, 1218–1225.
- Kawamata, T., Seitz, H., & Tomari, Y. (2009). Structural determinants of miRNAs for RISC loading and slicer-independent unwinding. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, 16, 953–960.
- Kawamata, T., & Tomari, Y. (2011). Native gel analysis for RISC assembly. In T. C. Hobman & T. F. Duchaine (Eds.), Argonaute proteins: Methods and protocols. Methods in molecular biology (pp. 91–105). Humana Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-046-1_7
- Kelwick, R. J. R., Webb, A. J., & Freemont, P. S. (2020). Biological materials: The next frontier for cell-free synthetic biology. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00399
- Kim, S., Kim, S., Chang, H. R., Kim, D., Park, J., Son, N., Park, J., Yoon, M., Chae, G., Kim, Y.-K., Kim, V. N., Kim, Y. K., Nam, J.-W., Shin, C., & Baek, D. (2021). The regulatory impact of RNA-binding proteins on microRNA targeting. *Nature Communications*, 12, 5057.
- Kim, Y., Ho, S. O., Gassman, N. R., Korlann, Y., Landorf, E. V., Collart, F. R., & Weiss, S. (2008). Efficient site-specific labeling of proteins via cysteines. *Bioconjugate Chemistry*, 19, 786–791.
- Korostelev, A., Ermolenko, D. N., & Noller, H. F. (2008). Structural dynamics of the ribosome. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 12, 674–683.
- Krishnan, R., Blanco, M. R., Kahlscheuer, M. L., Abelson, J., Guthrie, C., & Walter, N. G. (2013). Biased Brownian ratcheting leads to premRNA remodeling and capture prior to first-step splicing. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, 20, 1450–1457.
- Lang, K., & Chin, J. W. (2014). Cellular incorporation of unnatural amino acids and bioorthogonal labeling of proteins. *Chemical Reviews*, 114, 4764–4806.
- Laohakunakorn, N., Grasemann, L., Lavickova, B., Michielin, G., Shahein, A., Swank, Z., & Maerkl, S. J. (2020). Bottom-up construction of complex biomolecular systems with cell-free synthetic biology. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fbioe.2020.00213
- Larsen, N. B., Gao, A. O., Sparks, J. L., Gallina, I., Wu, R. A., Mann, M., Räschle, M., Walter, J. C., & Duxin, J. P. (2019). Replication-coupled DNA-protein crosslink repair by SPRTN and the proteasome in *Xenopus* egg extracts. *Molecular Cell*, 73, 574–588.e7.
- Lee, H.-W., Ryu, J. Y., Yoo, J., Choi, B., Kim, K., & Yoon, T.-Y. (2013). Real-time single-molecule coimmunoprecipitation of weak proteinprotein interactions. *Nature Protocols*, 8, 2045–2060.
- Lee, K. J., Kang, D., & Park, H.-S. (2019). Site-specific labeling of proteins using unnatural amino acids. *Molecules and Cells*, 42, 386–396.
- Lee, T. C., Kang, M., Kim, C. H., Schultz, P. G., Chapman, E., & Deniz, A. A. (2016). Dual unnatural amino acid incorporation and clickchemistry labeling to enable single-molecule FRET studies of p97 folding. *Chembiochem*, 17, 981–984.
- Lee, Y. K., Low-Nam, S. T., Chung, J. K., Hansen, S. D., Lam, H. Y. M., Alvarez, S., & Groves, J. T. (2017). Mechanism of SOS PR-domain autoinhibition revealed by single-molecule assays on native protein from lysate. *Nature Communications*, 8, 15061.
- Liss, V., Barlag, B., Nietschke, M., & Hensel, M. (2016). Self-labelling enzymes as universal tags for fluorescence microscopy, super-resolution microscopy and electron microscopy. Scientific Report, 5. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep17740
- Lo Gullo, G., Mattossovich, R., Perugino, G., La Teana, A., Londei, P., & Benelli, D. (2019). Optimization of an in vitro transcription/translation system based on *Sulfolobus solfataricus* cell lysate. *Archaea*, 2019, e9848253.

- Lohka, M. J., & Masui, Y. (1983). Formation in vitro of sperm pronuclei and mitotic chromosomes induced by amphibian ooplasmic components. *Science*, 220, 719–721.
- Mateu-Regué, À., Christiansen, J., Bagger, F. O., Hellriegel, C., & Nielsen, F. C. (2021). Unveiling mRNP composition by fluorescence correlation and cross-correlation spectroscopy using cell lysates. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 49, e119.
- Mathonnet, G., Fabian, M. R., Svitkin, Y. V., Parsyan, A., Huck, L., Murata, T., Biffo, S., Merrick, W. C., Darzynkiewicz, E., Pillai, R. S., Filipowicz, W., Duchaine, T. F., & Sonenberg, N. (2007). MicroRNA inhibition of translation initiation in vitro by targeting the capbinding complex eIF4F. *Science*, 317, 1764–1767.
- McPheeters, D. S., Fabrizio, P., & Abelson, J. (1989). In vitro reconstitution of functional yeast U2 snRNPs. Genes & Development, 3, 2124-2136.
- Milo, R., Jorgensen, P., Moran, U., Weber, G., & Springer, M. (2010). BioNumbers—The database of key numbers in molecular and cell biology. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *38*, D750–D753.
- Miyoshi, T., Takeuchi, A., Siomi, H., & Siomi, M. C. (2010). A direct role for Hsp90 in pre-RISC formation in Drosophila. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology, 17, 1024–1026.
- Uhlmann, S., Mannsperger, H., Zhang, J. D., Horvat, E.-Á., Schmidt, C., Küblbeck, M., Henjes, F., Ward, A., Tschulena, U., Zweig, K., Korf, U., Wiemann, S., & Sahin, O. (2012). Global microRNA level regulation of EGFR-driven cell-cycle protein network in breast cancer. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 8, 570.
- Monro, R. E. (1967). Catalysis of peptide bond formation by 50S ribosomal subunits from *Escherichia coli*. Journal of Molecular Biology, 26, 147–151.
- Moore, P. B. (1988). The ribosome returns. Nature, 331, 223-227.
- Morse, R. H. (2022). Function and dynamics of the mediator complex: Novel insights and new frontiers. Transcription, 13, 39-52.
- Nagy, J., Grohmann, D., Cheung, A. C. M., Schulz, S., Smollett, K., Werner, F., & Michaelis, J. (2015). Complete architecture of the archaeal RNA polymerase open complex from single-molecule FRET and NPS. *Nature Communications*, 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7161
- Nanda, J. S., & Lorsch, J. R. (2014). Labeling a protein with fluorophores using NHS ester derivitization. Methods in Enzymology, 536, 87-94.
- Nguyen, V. Q., Ranjan, A., Liu, S., Tang, X., Ling, Y. H., Wisniewski, J., Mizuguchi, G., Li, K. Y., Jou, V., Zheng, Q., Lavis, L. D., Lionnet, T., & Wu, C. (2021). Spatiotemporal coordination of transcription preinitiation complex assembly in live cells. *Molecular Cell*, 81, 3560–3575.e6.
- Niederauer, C., Blumhardt, P., Mücksch, J., Heymann, M., Lambacher, A., & Schwille, P. (2018). Direct characterization of the evanescent field in objective-type total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy. *Optics Express*, *26*, 20492–20506.
- Niederer, R. O., Rojas-Duran, M. F., Zinshteyn, B., & Gilbert, W. V. (2022). Direct analysis of ribosome targeting illuminates thousand-fold regulation of translation initiation. *Cell Systems*, 13, 256–264.e3.
- Noller, H. F., Lancaster, L., Zhou, J., & Mohan, S. (2017). The ribosome moves: RNA mechanics and translocation. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology, 24, 1021–1027.
- O'Brien, J., Hayder, H., Zayed, Y., & Peng, C. (2018). Overview of microRNA biogenesis, mechanisms of actions, and circulation. Frontiers in Endocrinology, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2018.00402
- Oheim, M. (2016). TIRF (total internal reflection fluorescence). In *ieLS* (pp. 1–8). John Wiley & Sons. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470015902. a0022505
- Oheim, M., Salomon, A., Weissman, A., Brunstein, M., & Becherer, U. (2019). Calibrating evanescent-wave penetration depths for biological TIRF microscopy. *Biophysical Journal*, 117, 795–809.
- O'Keefe, R. T., Norman, C., & Newman, A. J. (1996). The invariant U5 snRNA loop 1 sequence is dispensable for the first catalytic step of pre-mRNA splicing in yeast. *Cell*, *86*, 679–689.
- Ozata, D. M., Gainetdinov, I., Zoch, A., O'Carroll, D., & Zamore, P. D. (2019). PIWI-interacting RNAs: Small RNAs with big functions. *Nature Reviews. Genetics*, 20, 89–108.
- Padgett, R. A., Hardy, S. F., & Sharp, P. A. (1983). Splicing of adenovirus RNA in a cell-free transcription system. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 80, 5230–5234.
- Pan, Z. Q., & Prives, C. (1988). Assembly of functional U1 and U2 human-amphibian hybrid snRNPs in Xenopus laevis oocytes. Science, 241, 1328–1331.
- Payen, A. (1838). Mémoire sur la composition du tissu propre des plantes et du ligneux. Comptes Rendus Geoscience, 7, 1052–1056.
- Perez, C. E., & Gonzalez, R. L., Jr. (2011). In vitro and in vivo single-molecule fluorescence imaging of ribosome-catalyzed protein synthesis. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 15, 853–863.
- Perez, J. G., Stark, J. C., & Jewett, M. C. (2016). Cell-free synthetic biology: Engineering beyond the cell. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 8. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a023853
- Petrenko, N., & Struhl, K. (2021). Comparison of transcriptional initiation by RNA polymerase II across eukaryotic species. *eLife*, 10. https:// doi.org/10.7554/eLife.67964
- Pillai, R. S., Bhattacharyya, S. N., Artus, C. G., Zoller, T., Cougot, N., Basyuk, E., Bertrand, E., & Filipowicz, W. (2005). Inhibition of translational initiation by Let-7 MicroRNA in human cells. Science, 309, 1573–1576.
- Pitchiaya, S., Androsavich, J. R., & Walter, N. G. (2012). Intracellular single molecule microscopy reveals two kinetically distinct pathways for microRNA assembly. *EMBO Reports*, 13, 709–715.
- Pitchiaya, S., Heinicke, L. A., Park, J. I., Cameron, E. L., & Walter, N. G. (2017). Resolving subcellular miRNA trafficking and turnover at single-molecule resolution. *Cell Reports*, 19, 630–642.

- Pitchiaya, S., Mourao, M. D. A., Jalihal, A. P., Xiao, L., Jiang, X., Chinnaiyan, A. M., Schnell, S., & Walter, N. G. (2019). Dynamic recruitment of single RNAs to processing bodies depends on RNA functionality. *Molecular Cell*, 74, 521–533.e6.
- Ray, S., Widom, J. R., & Walter, N. G. (2018). Life under the microscope: Single-molecule fluorescence highlights the RNA world. *Chemical Reviews*, 118, 4120–4155.
- Rhine, K., Skanchy, S., & Myong, S. (2022). Single-molecule and ensemble methods to probe RNP nucleation and condensate properties. *Methods*, 197, 74–81.
- Rinaldi, A. J., Lund, P. E., Blanco, M. R., & Walter, N. G. (2016). The Shine-Dalgarno sequence of riboswitch-regulated single mRNAs shows ligand-dependent accessibility bursts. *Nature Communications*, *7*, 8976.
- Rosen, G. A., Baek, I., Friedman, L. J., Joo, Y. J., Buratowski, S., & Gelles, J. (2020). Dynamics of RNA polymerase II and elongation factor Spt4/5 recruitment during activator-dependent transcription. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117, 32348–32357.
- Roy, R., Hohng, S., & Ha, T. (2008). A practical guide to single-molecule FRET. Nature Methods, 5, 507-516.
- Ruskin, B., Krainer, A. R., Maniatis, T., & Green, M. R. (1984). Excision of an intact intron as a novel lariat structure during pre-mRNA splicing in vitro. *Cell*, *38*, 317–331.
- Ryu, Y., & Schultz, P. G. (2006). Efficient incorporation of unnatural amino acids into proteins in Escherichia coli. *Nature Methods*, *3*, 263–265.
- Salomon, W. E., Jolly, S. M., Moore, M. J., Zamore, P. D., & Serebrov, V. (2015). Single-molecule imaging reveals that Argonaute reshapes the binding properties of its nucleic acid guides. *Cell*, 162, 84–95.
- Sánchez-Rico, C., von Voithenberg, L. V., Warner, L., Lamb, D. C., & Sattler, M. (2017). Effects of fluorophore attachment on protein conformation and dynamics studied by spFRET and NMR spectroscopy. *Chemistry*, 23, 14267–14277.
- Sanders, D. W., Kaufman, S. K., DeVos, S. L., Sharma, A. M., Mirbaha, H., Li, A., Barker, S. J., Foley, A. C., Thorpe, J. R., Serpell, L. C., Miller, T. M., Grinberg, L. T., Seeley, W. W., & Diamond, M. I. (2014). Distinct tau prion strains propagate in cells and mice and define different tauopathies. *Neuron*, 82, 1271–1288.
- Schachman, H. K., Pardee, A. B., & Stanier, R. Y. (1952). Studies on the macro-molecular organization of microbial cells. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, 38, 245–260.
- Schier, A. C., & Taatjes, D. J. (2020). Structure and mechanism of the RNA polymerase II transcription machinery. Genes & Development, 34, 465–488.
- Schluenzen, F., Tocilj, A., Zarivach, R., Harms, J., Gluehmann, M., Janell, D., Bashan, A., Bartels, H., Agmon, I., Franceschi, F., & Yonath, A. (2000). Structure of functionally activated small ribosomal subunit at 3.3 angstroms resolution. *Cell*, 102, 615–623.
- Schmidt, A., Altincekic, N., Gustmann, H., Wachtveitl, J., & Hengesbach, M. (2018). The protein microenvironment governs the suitability of labeling sites for single-molecule spectroscopy of RNP complexes. ACS Chemical Biology, 13, 2472–2483.
- Schmidt, A., Gao, G., Little, S. R., Jalihal, A. P., & Walter, N. G. (2020). Following the messenger: Recent innovations in live cell single molecule fluorescence imaging. WIREs RNA, 11, e1587.
- Selbach, M., Schwanhäusser, B., Thierfelder, N., Fang, Z., Khanin, R., & Rajewsky, N. (2008). Widespread changes in protein synthesis induced by microRNAs. *Nature*, 455, 58–63.
- Semlow, D. R., Blanco, M. R., Walter, N. G., & Staley, J. P. (2016). Spliceosomal DEAH-box ATPases remodel pre-mRNA to activate alternative splice sites. Cell, 164, 985–998.
- Serebrov, V., & Moore, M. J. (2016). Single molecule approaches in RNA-protein interactions. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, 907, 89–106.
- Shetty, A., Reim, N. I., & Winston, F. (2019). Auxin-inducible degron system for depletion of proteins in S. cerevisiae. Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, 128, e104.
- Sheu-Gruttadauria, J., & MacRae, I. J. (2018). Phase transitions in the assembly and function of human miRISC. Cell, 173, 946–957.e16.
- Singh, G., Pratt, G., Yeo, G. W., & Moore, M. J. (2015). The clothes make the mRNA: Past and present trends in mRNP fashion. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, 84, 325–354.
- Sonneveld, S., Verhagen, B. M. P., & Tanenbaum, M. E. (2020). Heterogeneity in mRNA translation. Trends in Cell Biology, 30, 606-618.
- Sparks, J. L., Chistol, G., Gao, A. O., Räschle, M., Larsen, N. B., Mann, M., Duxin, J. P., & Walter, J. C. (2019). The CMG helicase bypasses DNA-protein cross-links to facilitate their repair. *Cell*, 176, 167–181.e21.
- Srinivasan, S., Hazra, J. P., Singaraju, G. S., Deb, D., & Rakshit, S. (2017). ESCORTing proteins directly from whole cell-lysate for singlemolecule studies. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 535, 35–42.
- Stark, M. R., & Rader, S. D. (2014). Complementation of U4 snRNA in S. cerevisiae splicing extracts for biochemical studies of snRNP assembly and function. Methods in Molecular Biology, 1126, 193–204.
- Thorn, K. (2017). Genetically encoded fluorescent tags. Molecular Biology of the Cell, 28, 848-857.
- Tomko, E. J., Fishburn, J., Hahn, S., & Galburt, E. A. (2017). TFIIH generates a six-base-pair open complex during RNAP II transcription initiation and start-site scanning. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, 24, 1139–1145.
- Torvi, J. R., Wong, J., Serwas, D., Moayed, A., Drubin, D. G., & Barnes, G. (2022). Reconstitution of kinetochore motility and microtubule dynamics reveals a role for a kinesin-8 in establishing end-on attachments. *eLife*, 11. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.78450
- Wakiyama, M., Kaitsu, Y., & Yokoyama, S. (2006). Cell-free translation system from Drosophila S2 cells that recapitulates RNAi. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 343, 1067–1071.
- Wakiyama, M., Ogami, K., Iwaoka, R., Aoki, K., & Hoshino, S. (2018). MicroRNP-mediated translational activation of nonadenylated mRNAs in a mammalian cell-free system. *Genes to Cells*, 23, 332–344.

18 of 18 WILEY WIRES

- Wakiyama, M., Takimoto, K., Ohara, O., & Yokoyama, S. (2007). Let-7 microRNA-mediated mRNA deadenylation and translational repression in a mammalian cell-free system. *Genes & Development*, *21*, 1857–1862.
- Walter, N. G. (2019). Biological pathway specificity in the cell-does molecular diversity matter? BioEssays, 41, e1800244.
- Wang, B., Doench, J. G., & Novina, C. D. (2007). Analysis of microRNA effector functions in vitro. Methods, 43, 91-104.
- Wang, B., Love, T. M., Call, M. E., Doench, J. G., & Novina, C. D. (2006). Recapitulation of short RNA-directed translational gene silencing in vitro. *Molecular Cell*, 22, 553–560.
- Wang, B., Yanez, A., & Novina, C. D. (2008). MicroRNA-repressed mRNAs contain 40S but not 60S components. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105, 5343–5348.
- Wang, K., Schmied, W. H., & Chin, J. W. (2012). Reprogramming the genetic code: From triplet to quadruplet codes. Angewandte Chemie (International Ed. in English), 51, 2288–2297.
- Wang, X., Park, S., Zeng, L., Jain, A., & Ha, T. (2018). Toward single-cell single-molecule pull-down. *Biophysical Journal*, 115, 283–288.
- Warf, M. B., & Berglund, J. A. (2010). The role of RNA structure in regulating pre-mRNA splicing. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, 35, 169–178.
- Welte, H., & Kovermann, M. (2020). Insights into protein stability in cell lysate by 19F NMR spectroscopy. *Chembiochem*, 21, 3575–3579.
- Welty, R., Schmidt, A., & Walter, N. G. (2023). Probing transient riboswitch structures via single molecule accessibility analysis. In J. Ding, J. R. Stagno, & Y.-X. Wang (Eds.), RNA structure and dynamics. Methods in molecular biology (pp. 37–51). Springer US. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-1-0716-2687-0_4
- Wightman, B., Ha, I., & Ruvkun, G. (1993). Posttranscriptional regulation of the heterochronic gene lin-14 by lin-4 mediates temporal pattern formation in *C. elegans. Cell*, 75, 855–862.
- Wilkinson, M. E., Charenton, C., & Nagai, K. (2020). RNA splicing by the spliceosome. Annual Review of Biochemistry, 89, 359-388.
- Willkomm, S., Jakob, L., Kramm, K., Graus, V., Neumeier, J., Meister, G., & Grohmann, D. (2022). Single-molecule FRET uncovers hidden conformations and dynamics of human Argonaute 2. *Nature Communications*, *13*, 3825.
- Wimberly, B. T., Brodersen, D. E., Clemons, W. M., Jr., Morgan-Warren, R. J., Carter, A. P., Vonrhein, C., Hartsch, T., & Ramakrishnan, V. (2000). Structure of the 30S ribosomal subunit. *Nature*, 407, 327–339.
- Wu, R. A., Dagdas, Y. S., Yilmaz, S. T., Yildiz, A., & Collins, K. (2015). Single-molecule imaging of telomerase reverse transcriptase in human telomerase holoenzyme and minimal RNP complexes. *eLife*, 4. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.08363
- Yoda, M., Kawamata, T., Paroo, Z., Ye, X., Iwasaki, S., Liu, Q., & Tomari, Y. (2010). ATP-dependent human RISC assembly pathways. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, *17*, 17–23.
- Zhang, Z., English, B. P., Grimm, J. B., Kazane, S. A., Hu, W., Tsai, A., Inouye, C., You, C., Piehler, J., Schultz, P. G., Lavis, L. D., Revyakin, A., & Tjian, R. (2016). Rapid dynamics of general transcription factor TFIIB binding during preinitiation complex assembly revealed by single-molecule analysis. *Genes & Development*, 30, 2106–2118.

How to cite this article: Duran, E., Schmidt, A., Welty, R., Jalihal, A. P., Pitchiaya, S., & Walter, N. G. (2023). Utilizing functional cell-free extracts to dissect ribonucleoprotein complex biology at single-molecule resolution. *WIREs RNA*, e1787. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.1787</u>